Current and future demographics of the Veteran population, 2014–2024

Ernesto Amaral, Michael Pollard, Joshua Mendelsohn, Matthew Cefalu

VA health care assessment

- The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) provides health care to eligible Veterans
- The Veterans Access, Choice, and Accountability Act of 2014 aimed to improve access to high-quality health care
 - It called for an independent assessment of VA's health care delivery systems and management processes
 - One of the requirements was to estimate current and projected demographics of Veterans

Objectives

- Project the Veteran population from 2014 to 2024 and their geographic distribution
 - Surveys collect information on Veterans, but no full national accounting since 2000 Census
- Describe the demographic characteristics of Veterans
 - Age, sex, race/ethnicity, service era, geographic distribution (PUMA level)

Projections for each service era

- Pre-1950
- Korean War: July 1950–January 1955
- Pre-Vietnam: February 1955–July 1964
- Vietnam: August 1964–April 1975
- Post-Vietnam: May 1975–July 1990
- Gulf War: August 1990–August 2001
- Post-9/11: September 2001 or later

Data

- 2000 Census is used as the baseline Veteran population
 - Age, sex, race/ethnicity, service era
- U.S. Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC)
 - Age, sex, race/ethnicity, location of accession, anticipated loss date
- American Community Survey (ACS)
 - 5-year estimates: 2005-09, 2009-13
 - American FactFinder (U.S. Census Bureau)
 - Prior to 2005, no information about residence in previous year (migration)

ACS specificities

- Undercounts number of Veterans
 - We used 2000 Census and estimated Veterans who would be alive in 2013
 - Number is equivalent to 2013 ACS estimates
 - ACS undercounts new Veterans who entered the population from 2000 to 2013
- Captures distribution of Veterans by age, sex, race/ethnicity, service era, location
- Determines Veteran geographic distribution and migration patterns

Population projection

- Standard cohort component model
 - U.S. Census Bureau's Rural and Urban Projection software
 - 2000 Census provides counts of Veterans
- "Births" and mortality
 - New Veterans (DMDC): 2000-24
 - Apply mortality rates (VA, CDC): 2000–24
 - Estimate national Veteran population: 2005–24
- Distribute national projections into PUMAs (ACS)
- Adjust initial projections by migration (ACS)

Mortality rates


- 2014 Veteran population mortality rates
 - Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)
 - By age, sex, but not race/ethnicity
- 2011 rates by race/ethnicity
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- Derive race/ethnicity rates based on CDC that reflect overall VA rates

Steps to estimate mortality rates (1/3)

- Calculate deaths of Veterans if they had the same rates as civilians by age-sexrace/ethnicity
- Aggregate these deaths to estimate mortality rates by age-sex
- Compare these standardized rates to observed VA rates by age-sex

Steps to estimate mortality rates (2/3)


 Ratio of observed Veteran mortality rate to the standardized rate




Steps to estimate mortality rates (3/3)

- Multiply the civilian race/ethnicity rates by this difference in rates at each age
- We assume that the inflation/deflation factor at each age is the same for each race/ethnicity

National projection (apply "births" and mortality)



Distribute national projection into PUMAs: 2014 example



- Assumption: ACS captures geographic distribution
- By 5-year age group, sex, race/ethnicity, service era


Migration procedures

- Disaggregate PUMA groups in previous year
 Correspondence files in IPUMS-USA website
- Convert 2009-11 PUMAs into 2010 codes
 - Engine by Missouri Census Data Center
- Gravity models (2009-13)
 - Predict in- and out-migration with distance and other covariates
- Apply predicted rates to 2014 projection
 - Generate number of in- and out-migrants
 - Adjust in-migrants to generate null net migration

Gravity models


- These models predict in- and out-migration
 - Distance is expected to play an intervening role on the levels of population flows
- Zero-inflated Poisson regressions (2009-13)
 - Migration as a function of squared distance, sex, service era, age, race/ethnicity
 - Dummy indicates whether cell has zero migrants to control for high prevalence of cells with zero counts of migrants
 - Populations of origin/destination as exposure

Results of service era for out-migration




Service era

Results of age group for out-migration



Results of race/ethnicity for out-migration



Number of in- and out-migrants

 Apply predicted rates from previous models to 2014 projection

• Generate number of in- and out-migrants

• Adjust in-migrants...

Adjust in-migrants

- Net migration equals zero in each year
 Adjusted In-mig = In-mig * Sum out-mig / Sum in-mig
- Assumption: out-migration counts are more accurate than in-migration counts
 - Out-migration based on residence in previous year (PUMA group)
 - We allocated migrants at the beginning of period into PUMAs within group
 - This gives higher chance of all cells having migrants
 - In-migration based on information at PUMA level
 - This might generate more cells with small counts

Migration: final projection

2014

Number of in-migrants

(estimated with ACS rates and initial projection)

PUMA	Number of in-migrants	
1	###	
2	###	
2351	###	

ſ	2014 Number of out-migrants (estimated with ACS rates and initial projection)			
	PUMA 1-year ago	Number of out-migrants		
	1	###		
	2	###		
	2351	###		

2014 Initial projection

PUMA Population 1 ### 2 ### 2351

2014 Final projection

(after migration)

PUMA	Populatio n	Net migration	Population after mig.
1	###	+/- ###	###
2	###	+/- ###	###
2351	###	+/- ###	###

Migration for 2015–24

- Iterate this process for subsequent years
- Use final 2014 projection as baseline for 2015 national projection
- Apply migration rates to get final 2015 distribution
- Adjust marginal counts with weight calibration to keep national totals


Iterative proportional fitting (raking)

• Process continues through 2024


Main results

- Veterans will decrease by 19%
 21.6 million (2014), 17.5 million (2024)
- Mean age will increase slightly
 - Higher proportion of both older and younger
- Modest changes by sex and race/ethnicity
 - Males: 92% (2014), 89% (2024)
 - White: 80% (2014), 74% (2024)
- Service era composition will change
 - Vietnam: 32% (2014), 29% (2024)
 - Gulf War, Post-9/11: 26% (2014), 41% (2024)


Total Veteran population, 2014




Total Veteran population, 2024




Veterans under age 35, 2014




Veterans under age 35, 2024




Veterans age 65+, 2014




Veterans age 65+, 2024



Percent Veteran population change and VA medical centers, 2014–24



Total number: 17.5m (100%) Lambert Conformal Conic Projection Alaska Rendered at One-Third Scale



Final considerations

- Concentration in urban areas
 - Ohio River Valley and upper Midwest: proportion of Veterans will diminish
 - Southwest will not be supported properly by existing VA medical centers
- Migration is less frequent among Veterans than non-Veterans
 - Will not play substantial role in 2014–24 geographic distribution
- Projection methods can be applied to other contexts

VetPop model

- VetPop2014 model was developed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Office of the Actuary (OACT)
 - Veteran population projections from 2014–43
 - By age, sex, service era, race/ethnicity, county
 - It does not use race/ethnicity mortality rates
 - Documentation for this model is scarce

Comparison to VetPop model

 The projected 2024 Veteran populations are relatively similar in size (in millions) and sex composition (11% female)

Year	Our estimates	VetPop model
2014	21.6	21.9
2024	17.5	19.0

- VetPop2014 predicts higher percentages of black and Hispanic Veterans
 - In our model, white and Asian Veterans have lower mortality rates than black and Hispanic Veterans, which is consistent with national mortality

Policy recommendations: data collection

- Re-implement data collection on the Veteran population in 2020 Census
 - This allows smaller surveys to refine sampling strategies to reflect population of Veterans
- Monitor post-9/11 era (young population)
 - Needs may be different and evolve in a way that VA has not seen in several decades

Policy recommendations: plan for shrinking population

- VA should begin to plan for a shrinking population
- Consider alternative approaches to meeting the needs of its population
- E.g., purchase care from civilian sector even while patient population is growing

Policy recommendations: services for specific age groups

• Overall Veteran population will continue to age over the projection horizon

- Health services for aging will be needed

- Younger Veterans (<35) are expected to concentrate in several areas
 - Los Angeles; Dallas; Washington, DC; northern New Jersey; northern California; central Washington state; Midwest; Wyoming; Utah
 - Provide health care services for young adults

Policy recommendations: geographic distribution

- Geographic distribution of Veterans will moderately change from 2015–24
- Areas with adequate VA health services
 - Decline of Veterans: Ohio River Valley, upper Midwest
 - Growth of Veterans: Washington, DC; Charlotte, NC; San Antonio, Austin, TX
- Areas that need more VA health services
 Crowth of Veterana: a g Mentana W/versing
 - Growth of Veterans: e.g., Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, Southwest