Lectures 6–9: Summary of inferential statistics and hypothesis testing

Ernesto F. L. Amaral

October 03–05, 2023 Advanced Methods of Social Research (SOCI 420)

www.ernestoamaral.com

Source: Healey, Joseph F. 2015. "Statistics: A Tool for Social Research." Stamford: Cengage Learning. 10th edition. Chapters 6 (pp. 144–159), 7 (pp. 160–184), 8 (pp. 185–215), 9 (pp. 216–246).



Outline

- Sampling distribution
- Confidence interval and confidence level
- Hypothesis testing
- Two-sample test of means
- Two-sample test of proportions



Sampling distribution

- Sampling distribution is the probabilistic distribution of a statistic for all possible samples of a given size (n)
 - It is the distribution of a statistic (e.g., proportion, mean) for all possible outcomes of a certain size
- Central tendency and dispersion
 - Mean is the same as the population mean
 - Standard deviation is referred as standard error
 - It is the population standard deviation divided by the square root of n
 - We have to take into account the complex survey design to estimate the standard error (svyset command in Stata)



Linking sample and population

- Every application of inferential statistics involves three different distributions
 - Population: empirical; unknown
 - Sampling distribution: theoretical; known
 - Sample: empirical; known
- In inferential statistics, the sample distribution links the sample with the population



Example

- Suppose we want to gather information on the age of a community of 10,000 individuals
 - Sample 1: *n*=100 people, plot sample's mean of 27
 - Replace people in the sample back to the population
 - Sample 2: *n*=100 people, plot sample's mean of 30
 - Replace people in the sample back to the population



Example

- We repeat this procedure: sampling, replacing
 - Until we have exhausted every possible combination of 100 people from the population of 10,000
 - Sampling distribution has a normal shape



Symbols

Distribution	Shape	Mean	Standard deviation	Proportion
Samples	Varies	\overline{X}	S	Ps
Populations	Varies	μ	σ	P_u
Sampling distributions	Normal	$\mu_{ar{X}}$		
of means		$\mu_{ar{X}}$	$\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$	
of proportions	S	μ_p	σ_p	ĀM



Confidence interval & level

- **Confidence interval** is a range of values used to estimate the true population parameter
 - We associate a confidence level (e.g. 0.95 or 95%) to a confidence interval
- **Confidence level** is the success rate of the procedure to estimate the confidence interval
 - Expressed as probability $(1-\alpha)$ or percentage $(1-\alpha)^*100$
 - $-\alpha$ is the complement of the confidence level
 - Larger confidence levels generate larger confidence intervals
- Confidence level of 95% is the most common
 - Good balance between precision (width of confidence interval) and reliability (confidence level)



Confidence level, α , and Z

Confidence level (1 – α) * 100	Significance level alpha (α)	α / 2	Z score
90%	0.10	0.05	<u>+</u> 1.65
95%	0.05	0.025	±1.96
99%	0.01	0.005	<u>+</u> 2.58
99.9%	0.001	0.0005	<u>+</u> 3.32
99.99%	0.0001	0.00005	±3.90



Source: Healey 2015, p.165.



Confidence intervals for sample means

- For large samples (*N*≥100)
- Standard deviation (σ) **<u>unknown</u>** for population

$$c.\,i.=\,\bar{X}\pm Z\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)$$

- *c.i.* = confidence interval
- \overline{X} = sample mean
- Z = score determined by the alpha level

 $s/\sqrt{n-1}$ = sample deviation of the sampling distribution (standard error of the mean)

 $\pm Z(s/\sqrt{n-1})$ = margin of error



Example from ACS

- ***95% confidence level
- . svy, subpop(if income!=. & income!=0): mean income
 (running mean on estimation sample)

Survey: Mean estimation

Number	of	strata	=	2,351
Number	of	PSUs	=	1410976

Number of obs =	3,214,539
Population size =	327,167,439
Subpop. no. obs =	1,574,313
Subpop. size =	163,349,075
Design df =	1,408,625

	Mean	Linearized Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
income	50043.98	59.74195	49926.89	50161.07

Obs.: Only individuals with some wage and salary income are included (exclude those with zero income).

We are 95% certain

that the confidence

\$50,161.07 contains

the true average wage

and salary income for

the U.S. population in

interval from

2018

\$49,926.89 to

Source: 2018 American Community Survey.

*****Standard deviation**

. estat sd

	Mean	Std. Dev.
income	50043.98	61547.67

Edited table

Table 1. Summary statistics for individual averagewage and salary income of the U.S. population, 2018

Summary statistics	Value
Mean	50,043.98
Standard deviation	61,547.67
Standard error	59.74
95% confidence interval	
Lower bound	49,926.89
Upper bound	50,161.07
Sample size	1,574,313

Obs.: Only individuals with some wage and salary income are included (exclude those with zero income). Source: 2018 American Community Survey.



Interpreting previous example $n = 1,574,313; 49,926.89 \le \mu \le 50,161.07$

- **Correct:** We are 95% certain that the confidence interval contains the true value of μ
 - If we selected several samples of size 1,574,313 and estimated their confidence intervals, 95% of them would contain the population mean (μ)
 - The 95% confidence level refers to the success rate to estimate the population mean (μ). It does not refer to the population mean itself
- Wrong: Since the value of μ is fixed, it is incorrect to say that there is a chance of 95% that the true value of μ is between the interval

Confidence intervals
for sample proportions
$$c.i. = P_s \pm Z \sqrt{\frac{P_u(1 - P_u)}{n}}$$

- c.i. = confidence interval
- P_s = sample proportion
- Z = score determined by the alpha level

 $\sqrt{P_u(1-P_u)/n}$ = sample deviation of the sampling distribution (standard error of the proportion)

 $\pm Z(\sqrt{P_u(1-P_u)/n})$ = margin of error



Note about sample proportions

- The formula for the standard error includes the population value
 - We do not know and are trying to estimate (P_u)
- By convention we set P_u equal to 0.50
 - The numerator $[P_u(1-P_u)]$ is at its maximum value
 - $-P_u(1-P_u) = (0.50)(1-0.50) = 0.25$
- The calculated confidence interval will be at its maximum width
 - This is considered the most statistically conservative technique



Example from ACS

. svy: prop migrant

(running proportion on estimation sample)

Survey: Proportion estimation

certain that the confidence interval from 5.2% to 5.3% contains the true proportion of internal migrants in the U.S. population in 2018

We are 95%

Number of strata = 2,351 Number of PSUs = 1410889 Number of obs = 3,184,099 Population size = 323,541,502 Design df = 1,408,538

	Proportion	Linearized Std. Err.	Log [95% Conf.	it Interval]
migrant Non-migrant Internal migrant International migrant	.9418963 .0524799 .0056239	.000259 .0002463 .0000823	.9413866 .0519993 .0054649	.9424019 .0529647 .0057874



Edited table

Table 2. Summary statistics for migration status of the U.S. population, 2018

Migration	Proportion	Standard	95% Confide	nce Interval
status	-	Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Non-migrant	0.9419	0.0003	0.9414	0.9424
Internal migrant	0.0525	0.0003	0.0520	0.0530
International migrant	0.0056	0.0001	0.0055	0.0058

Obs.: Sample size of 3,184,099 individuals. Source: 2018 American Community Survey.



Interpreting previous example $n = 3,184,099; 5.2 \le P_u \le 5.3$

- **Correct:** We are 95% certain that the confidence interval contains the true value of P_u
 - If we selected several samples of size 3,184,099 and estimated their confidence intervals, 95% of them would contain the population proportion (P_u)
 - The 95% confidence level refers to the success rate to estimate the population proportion (P_u). It does not refer to the population proportion itself
- Wrong: Since the value of P_u is fixed, it is incorrect to say that there is a chance of 95% that the true value of P_u is between the interval



Hypothesis testing

- We analyze a difference between two sample statistics
 - We compare means or proportions of two samples from specific sub-groups of the population
- This is the question under consideration
 - "Is the difference between the samples large enough to allow us to conclude (with a known probability of error) that the populations represented by the samples are different?"



Null hypothesis (H₀)

- Null hypothesis (H₀) indicates that populations are the same
 - Assuming that the H_0 is true, there is no difference between the parameters of the two populations
 - Equal sign (=) is used in the H₀
- We <u>reject</u> the H₀ and say there is a difference between the populations
 - If difference between sample statistics is significant
 - Or if the size of the estimated difference is unlikely



Alternative hypothesis (H₁)

- Alternative hypothesis or research hypothesis (H₁) indicates that populations are different
 - Different sign (≠), greater than sign (>), or less than sign (<) can be used in the H₁
 - Based on theory (previous studies), you should have a H₁ that states the direction of the difference (> or <)
 - If it is an exploratory study, H₁ will state that there is a difference (≠), but you don't know the direction
- We <u>accept</u> the H₁ and say there is a difference between the populations
 - If difference between sample statistics is significant

Decisions about hypotheses

Hypotheses	ρ < α	<i>p</i> > α
Null hypothesis (H ₀)	Reject	Do not reject
Alternative hypothesis (H ₁)	Accept	Do not accept
 <i>p</i>-value is the probability of not rejecting the null 	Significance level (α)	Confidence level
hypothesis	0.10 (10%)	90%
- If a statistical software	0.05 (5%)	95%
gives only the two- tailed <i>p</i> -value, divide it	0.01 (1%)	99%
by 2 to obtain the one- tailed <i>p</i> -value	0.001 (0.1%)	99.9%

Outcomes of hypothesis testing

- Result of a specific analysis could be
 - Statistically significant and
 - Important (large magnitude)
 - Statistically significant, but
 - Unimportant (small magnitude)
 - Not statistically significant, but
 - Important (large magnitude)
 - Not statistically significant and
 - Unimportant (small magnitude)





Two-sample test of means

- Are means of two sub-groups for a specific variable different with statistical significance?
- Obtained t

$$t(obtained) = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sigma_{\bar{X}} - \bar{X}}$$

Pooled estimate of the standard error

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}-\bar{X}} = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 s_1^2 + n_2 s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}}$$



ACS: income by sex

- We know the average income by sex from the 2018 ACS
 - . table sex if income!=0, c(mean income)

Sex	<pre>mean(income)</pre>
Male	61704.38
Female	41238.01

- What causes the difference between male income of \$61,704.38 and female income of \$41,238.01?
- Real difference? Or difference due to random chance?



t-test for income by sex

- Men have an average income that is significantly higher than the female average income
 - The difference between male and female income was large and unlikely to have occurred by random chance (*p*<0.05) in 2018

. ttest income if income!=0, by(sex)



Two-sample t test with equal variances

Source: 2018 American Community Survey.

Edited table

Table 1. Two-sample *t*-test of individual average wage andsalary income for the U.S. population by sex, 2018

Sex	2018
Male	61,704.38
	(84.79)
Female	41,238.01
	(56.25)
Difference	20,466.36***
	(103.13)
Sample size	1,574,131

Note: Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

*Significant at p<0.10; **Significant at p<0.05; ***Significant at p<0.01.

No sample weight was utilized for this test.

Source: 2018 American Community Survey.





Two-sample test of proportions

- Are proportions of two sub-groups for a specific variable different with statistical significance?
- Obtained Z score

$$Z(obtained) = \frac{P_{s1} - P_{s2}}{\sigma_{p-p}}$$

Pooled estimate of the standard error

$$\sigma_{p-p} = \sqrt{P_u (1 - P_u)} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}}$$

Population proportion

$$P_u = \frac{n_1 P_{s1} + n_2 P_{s2}}{n_1 + n_2}$$



ACS: internal migration by sex

- We know the proportion of internal migrants by sex based on the 2018 ACS
- . tab dommig sex, col nofreq

ı

	Sex			
dommig	Male	Female	Total	
0	94.31	94.95	94.64	
1	5.69	5.05	5.36	
				. count if dommig!=. & sex!=.
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	3,167,213

- What causes the difference between the percentage of men who are internal migrants (5.69%) and the percentage of women who are internal migrants (5.05%)?
 - Real difference? Or difference due to random chance?



Test of proportion for internal migration by sex

• Men are more likely to be internal migrants than women

 The difference between the percentage of men who are internal migrants and the percentage of women who are internal migrants was large and unlikely to have occurred by random chance (*p*<0.05) in 2018

. prtest dommig, by(sex)

Two-sample te	st of proport	ions	I		umber of obs : umber of obs :		prop. test = <i>z</i> =
Group	Mean	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]	diff. mean /
Male Female	.0568569 .0505412	.000186 .0001723			.0564924 .0502035	.0572214 .0508788	std. error =
diff	.0063157 under Ho:	.0002535 .0002532	24.94	0.000	.0058188	.0068126	0.0063 / 0.0003 =
diff = Ho: diff =	= prop(Male) - = 0	– prop(Femal	e)		Z	= 24.9396	24.9396
Ha: diff • Pr(Z < z) = 1		Ha: d Pr(Z >	iff != 0 z) = 0. (iff > 0) = 0.0000	A M

Source: 2018 American Community Survey.

ACS: international migration by sex

- We know the proportion of international migrants by sex based on the 2018 ACS
- . tab intmig sex, col nofreq

ı

	Sex			
intmig	Male	Female	Total	
0	99.43	99.45	99.44	
1	0.57	0.55	0.56	
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	. count if intmig!=. & sex!=. 3,014,232

- What causes the difference between the percentage of men who are international migrants (0.57%) and the percentage of women who are internal migrants (0.55%)?
 - Real difference? Or difference due to random chance?



Test of proportion for international migration by sex

- Men are more likely to be international migrants than women
 - The difference between the percentage of men who are international migrants and the percentage of women who are internal migrants was large and unlikely to have occurred by random chance (*p*<0.05) in 2018

. prtest intmig, by(sex)

```
Male: Number of obs = 1.5e+06
Two-sample test of proportions
                                                                                 prop. test = z =
                                              Female: Number of obs = 1.5e+06
                                                                                 diff. mean /
       Group
                     Mean
                            Std. Err.
                                                P>|z|
                                                          [95% Conf. Interval]
                                           z
                                                                                 std. error =
        Male
                 .0057487
                            .0000623
                                                                       .0058709
                                                          .0056265
      Female
                 .0054624
                            .0000593
                                                          .0053461
                                                                      .0055787
                                                                                 0.0003 / 0.0001 =
        diff
                                                          .0001176
                 .0002863
                            .0000861
                                                                      .0004549
                under Ho:
                             .000086
                                         3.33
                                                0.001
                                                                                 3.3286
        diff = prop(Male) - prop(Female)
                                                                        3.3286
                                                                  z =
    Ho: diff = 0
    Ha: diff < 0
                                                               Ha: diff > 0
                                 Ha: diff != 0
 Pr(Z < z) = 0.9996
                            Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.0009
                                                            Pr(Z > z) = 0.0004
Source: 2018 American Community Survey.
```

Edited table

Table 2. Test of proportions for internal and internationalmigration status for the U.S. population by sex, 2018

Sex	Internal migration	International migration	
Male	0.0569	0.0058	
	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
Female	0.0505	0.0055	
	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
Difference	0.0063***	0.0003***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0001)	
Sample size	3,167,213	3,014,232	

Note: Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

*Significant at p<0.10; **Significant at p<0.05; ***Significant at p<0.01.

No sample weight was utilized for this test.

Source: 2018 American Community Survey.



