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## Outline

- Identify and cite examples of situations in which the chi square test is appropriate
- Explain the structure of a bivariate table and the concept of independence as applied to expected and observed frequencies in a bivariate table
- Explain the logic of hypothesis testing in terms of chi square
- Perform the chi square test using the five-step model and correctly interpret the results
- Explain the limitations of the chi square test and, especially, the difference between statistical significance and substantive significance (importance, magnitude) $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}] \vec{M}$


## The bivariate table

- Bivariate tables display the scores of cases on two different variables at the same time

Rates of Participation in Voluntary Associations by Marital Status for 100 Senior Citizens

|  | Marital Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rates | Married | Unmarried |  |
| High |  |  | TOTALS |
| Low | $\overline{50}$ | $\overline{50}$ | 50 |
| TOTALS |  | $\overline{50}$ |  |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 278.

## Aspects of the table

- Note the two dimensions: rows and columns
- What is the independent variable?
- What is the dependent variable?
- Where are the row and column marginals?
- Where is the total number of cases ( $n$ )?

Rates of Participation in Voluntary Associations by Marital Status for 100 Senior Citizens

|  | Marital Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rates | Married | Unmarried |  |
| High |  |  | TOTALS |
| Low | $\overline{50}$ | $\overline{50}$ | 50 |
| TOTALS |  | $\overline{50}$ |  |

## Important information to report

- Must have a title
- Cells are intersections of columns and rows
- Subtotals are called marginals
- Sample size ( $n$ ) or population size $(N)$ is reported at the intersection of row and column marginals


## Independent, dependent variables

- Columns are scores of the independent variable
- There will be as many columns as there are scores on the independent variable
- Rows are scores on the dependent variable
- There will be as many rows as there are scores on the dependent variable
- Each cell reports the number of times each combination of scores occurred
- There will be as many cells as there are scores on the two variables combined


## Test for independence

- Chi square as a test of statistical significance is a test for independence
- Two variables are independent if the classification of a case into a particular category of one variable has no effect on the probability that the case will fall into any particular category of the second variable

Rates of Participation in Voluntary Associations by Marital Status for 100 Senior Citizens

|  | Marital Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rates | Married | Unmarried |  |
| High | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| Low | $\frac{25}{50}$ | $\frac{25}{50}$ | $\frac{50}{100}$ |
| TOTALS | $50 T A L S$ |  |  |

## Cross tabulations

- Chi square is a test of significance based on bivariate tables
- Bivariate tables are also called cross tabulations, crosstabs, contingency tables
- We are looking for significant differences between
- The actual cell frequencies observed in a table ( $f_{o}$ )
- And frequencies that would be expected by random chance or if cell frequencies were independent $\left(f_{e}\right)$


## Computation of chi square

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{e}=\frac{\text { Row marginal } \times \text { Column marginal }}{n} \\
\chi^{2}(\text { obtained })=\sum \frac{\left(f_{o}-f_{e}\right)^{2}}{f_{e}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f_{o}=$ cell frequencies observed in the bivariate table
$f_{e}=$ cell frequencies that would be expected if the variables were independent

## Example

- Random sample of 100 social work majors
- We know whether the Council on Social Work Education has accredited their undergraduate programs
- And whether they were hired in social work positions within three months of graduation
- Is there a significant relationship between employment status and accreditation status?

Employment of 100 Social Work Majors by Accreditation Status of Undergraduate Program

|  | Accreditation Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment Status | Accredited | Not Accredited | TOTALS |
| Working as a social worker | 30 | 10 | 40 |
| Not working as a social worker | $\frac{25}{55}$ | $\frac{35}{45}$ | $\underline{60}$ |
| TOTALS |  | 100 |  |

## Step 1: Assumptions,requirements

- Independent random samples
- Level of measurement is nominal
- Note the minimal assumptions
- No assumption is made about the shape of the sampling distribution
- The chi square test is nonparametric or distributionfree


## Step 2: Null hypothesis

- Null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{0}: f_{o}=f_{e}$
- The variables are independent
- The observed frequencies are similar to the expected frequencies
- Alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{1}: f_{o} \neq f_{e}$
- The variables are dependent of each other
- The observed frequencies are different than the expected frequencies


## Step 3: Distribution, critical region

- Sampling distribution
- Chi square distribution ( $\chi^{2}$ )
- Significance level $(\alpha)=0.05$
- The decision to reject the null hypothesis has only a 0.05 probability of being incorrect
- Degrees of freedom $(d f)=(r-1)(c-1)$
- $r=$ number of rows; $c=$ number of columns
$-d f=(r-1)(c-1)=(2-1)(2-1)=1$
- $\chi^{2}($ critical $)=3.841$
- If the probability ( $p$-value) is less than 0.05
- $\chi^{2}$ (obtained) will be beyond $\chi^{2}$ (critical)


## Step 4: Test statistic

Observed frequencies

|  | Accreditation Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment Status | Accredited | Not Accredited | TOTALS |
| Working as a social worker | 30 | 10 | 40 |
| Not working as a social worker | $\frac{25}{55}$ | $\frac{35}{45}$ | $\frac{60}{100}$ |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |

## Expected frequencies

|  | Accreditation Status |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment Status | Accredited | Not Accredited |  |
| Working as a social worker | 22 | TOTALS |  |
| Not working as a social worker | $\frac{33}{55}$ | $\frac{27}{45}$ | 40 |
| TOTALS | 55 | $\frac{60}{100}$ |  |

Expected frequency $\left(f_{e}\right)$ for the top-left cell $f_{e}=\frac{\text { Row marginal } \times \text { Column marginal }}{n}=\frac{40 \times 55}{100}=22$

## Computational table

| $(1)$ | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{o}$ | $f_{e}$ | $f_{o}-f_{e}$ | $\left(f_{o}-f_{e}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(f_{o}-f_{e}\right)^{2} / f_{e}$ |
| 30 | 22 | 8 | 64 | 2.91 |
| 10 | 18 | -8 | 64 | 3.56 |
| 25 | 33 | -8 | 64 | 1.94 |
| $\frac{35}{100}$ | $\frac{27}{100}$ | $\frac{8}{0}$ | 64 | $\underline{2.37}$ |

- $\chi^{2}($ obtained $)=10.78$

Source: Healey 2015, p. 281.

## Step 5: Decision, interpret

- $\chi^{2}($ obtained $)=10.78$
- This is beyond $\chi^{2}$ (critical) $=3.841$
- The obtained $\chi^{2}$ score falls in the critical region, so we reject the $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
- Therefore, the $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is false and must be rejected
- There is a significant relationship between employment status and accreditation status in the population from which the sample was drawn


## Interpreting chi square

- The chi square test tells us only if the variables are independent or not
- It does not tell us the pattern or nature of the relationship
- To investigate the pattern, compute percentages within each column and compare across the columns


## Limitations of chi square

- Difficult to interpret
- When variables have many categories
- Best when variables have four or fewer categories
- With small sample size ( $n$ )
- We cannot assume that chi square sampling distribution will be accurate
- Small samples: High percentage of cells have expected frequencies of 5 or less
- Like all tests of hypotheses
- Chi square is sensitive to sample size
- As $n$ increases, obtained chi square increases
- Large samples: Trivial relationships may be significant
- Statistical significance is not the same as substantive significance (importance, magnitude)



## GSS example

- Is opinion about immigration different by sex?
- The probability of not rejecting $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is big ( $p>0.05$ )
- Opinion about immigration does not depend on respondent's sex

| Key |
| :--- |
| frequency |
| column percentage |


| number of immigrants <br> to america nowadays <br> should be | respondents sex <br> male |  | female |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Edited table

Table 1. Opinion of the U.S. adult population about how should the number of immigrants to the country be nowadays by sex, 2004, 2010, and 2016

| Opinion About Number of Immigrants | Male (\%) | Female (\%) | Total (\%) | Chi Square ( $\mathrm{df}=4$ ) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 2.3397 | 0.6740 |
| Increase a lot | 3.17 | 4.30 | 3.78 |  |  |
| Increase a little | 6.89 | 6.27 | 6.56 |  |  |
| Remain the same | 35.01 | 34.05 | 34.49 |  |  |
| Reduce a little | 27.68 | 28.72 | 28.24 |  |  |
| Reduce a lot | 27.24 | 26.66 | 26.93 |  |  |
| Total (sample size) | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (914) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (1,069) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (1,983) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  | 7.0998 | 0.1310 |
| Increase a lot | 5.21 | 3.88 | 4.45 |  |  |
| Increase a little | 7.90 | 11.40 | 9.91 |  |  |
| Remain the same | 35.29 | 34.96 | 35.10 |  |  |
| Reduce a little | 24.03 | 25.31 | 24.77 |  |  |
| Reduce a lot | 27.56 | 24.44 | 25.77 |  |  |
| Total (sample size) | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (595) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (798) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (1,393) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  | 1.3515 | 0.8530 |
| Increase a lot | 5.98 | 5.75 | 5.85 |  |  |
| Increase a little | 12.70 | 11.11 | 11.82 |  |  |
| Remain the same | 40.17 | 40.25 | 40.22 |  |  |
| Reduce a little | 22.10 | 23.20 | 22.71 |  |  |
| Reduce a lot | 19.05 | 19.69 | 19.40 |  |  |
| Total (sample size) | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (819) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (1,026) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ (1,845) \end{array}$ |  |  |

Source: 2004, 2010, 2016 General Social Surveys.

## ACS example

- Does education attainment vary by race/ethnicity?
- The probability of not rejecting $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is small ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ )
- Education attainment is dependent on race/ethnicity
. tab educgr raceth [fweight=perwt], col nofreq

|  | raceth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| educgr | White | African A | Hispanic | Asian | Native Am | Ohter rac | Total |
| Less than high school | 23.19 | 30.14 | 49.76 | 27.23 | 20.66 | 47.04 | 35.24 |
| High school | 26.55 | 29.72 | 26.11 | 16.23 | 34.00 | 17.85 | 26.09 |
| Some college | 20.38 | 22.79 | 14.40 | 12.29 | 25.15 | 16.42 | 17.82 |
| College | 19.92 | 11.04 | 7.12 | 23.26 | 15.36 | 12.51 | 13.78 |
| Graduate school | 9.95 | 6.31 | 2.62 | 20.99 | 4.83 | 6.17 | 7.07 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

```
. svy: tab educgr raceth, col
(running tabulate on estimation sample)
```

Number of strata $=212$
Number of PSUs $=114,016$
Pearson:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Uncorrected } & \text { chi2(20) } & =3.03 \mathrm{e}+04 & \\ \text { Design-based } & F(19.11, & 2.2 e+06)=676.9183 & P=0.0000\end{array}$
Number of obs = 272,776
Population size $=\mathbf{2 8 , 9 9 5 , 8 8 1}$
Design df $=\mathbf{1 1 3 , 8 0 4}$

## Edited table

Table 1. Percentage distribution of population by educational attainment and race/ethnicity, Texas, 2019

| Educational <br> attainment | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> White | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> Black | Hispanic | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> Asian | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> Native <br> American | Other <br> races | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than high school | 23.19 | 30.14 | 49.76 | 27.23 | 20.66 | 47.04 | 35.24 |
| High school | 26.55 | 29.72 | 26.11 | 16.23 | 34.00 | 17.85 | 26.09 |
| Some college | 20.38 | 22.79 | 14.40 | 12.29 | 25.15 | 16.42 | 17.82 |
| College | 19.92 | 11.04 | 7.12 | 23.26 | 15.36 | 12.51 | 13.78 |
| Graduate school | 9.95 | 6.31 | 2.62 | 20.99 | 4.83 | 6.17 | 7.07 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Population size $(N)$ | $11,929,840$ | $3,445,104$ | $11,527,412$ | $1,444,220$ | 79,394 | 569,911 | $28,995,881$ |
| Chi square $(d f=20)$ | $3.03 \mathrm{e}+04$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based | 676.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F(19.11,2.2 e+06)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p$-value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: 2019 American Community Survey.

