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Source: Healey, Joseph F. 2015. "Statistics: A Tool for Social Research." Stamford: Cengage Learning. 10th edition. Chapter 2 (pp. 24-65).


## Outline

- Frequency distributions
- Proportions, percentages
- Person-years
- Rates, probabilities, ratios
- Percentage change
- Using graphs to present data
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## Frequency distributions

- Frequency distributions are tables that report the number of cases in each category of a variable
- Frequency distributions summarize distribution of a variable by reporting the number of times each score of a variable occurred
- General rule for categories of frequency distribution
- Exhaustive
- Mutually exclusive
- Each case counted in one and only one category


## Frequency distributions

- Useful way to examine variables
- Report the number of cases in each category
- Used with variables at any level of measurement
- For nominal-level variables
- Count the number of times each category occurs and display the frequencies in table format

| Population by gender (fictitious |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gender | Frequency |
| Males | 53 |
| Females | 60 |
| Total | 113 |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 25.

## Number of categories

- Greater detail: more categories
- More clarity: fewer categories

Self-described religious identifications of adult Americans, 2008

| Religious group | Frequency |
| :--- | ---: |
| Protestant | $116,203,000$ |
| Catholic | $57,199,000$ |
| Jewish | $2,680,000$ |
| Muslim | $1,349,000$ |
| Buddhist | $1,189,000$ |
| Unitarian | 586,000 |
| Other | $2,992,000$ |
| None | $34,169,000$ |
| Total | $216,367,000$ |


| Religious group | Frequency |
| :--- | ---: |
| Protestant | $116,203,000$ |
| Catholic | $57,199,000$ |
| Jewish | $2,680,000$ |
| Other | $6,116,000$ |
| None | $34,169,000$ |
| Total | $216,367,000$ |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.26. |  |

## Proportions and percentages

- Report relative size
- Compare the number of cases in a specific category to the number of cases in all categories
- The part (specific category) is the numerator ( $f$ )
- The whole (all categories) is the denominator ( $N$ )
- What percentage of a group of people is female?
- The whole is the number of people in the group
- The part is the number of females


## Formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Proportion }=\left(\frac{f}{N}\right) \\
\text { Percentage: } \%=\left(\frac{f}{N}\right) \times 100
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f=$ frequency or the number of cases in any category
$N=$ the number of cases in all categories

## Guidelines

- With small number of cases (less than 20), report actual frequencies
- Always report number of observations along with proportions and percentages
- We can calculate percentages and proportions for variables at all levels of measurement


## Nominal-level: Religion

Self-described religious identifications of adult Americans, 2008

| Religious group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Protestant | $116,203,000$ | $53.71 \%$ |
| Catholic | $57,199,000$ | $26.44 \%$ |
| Jewish | $2,680,000$ | $1.24 \%$ |
| Muslim | $1,349,000$ | $0.62 \%$ |
| Buddhist | $1,189,000$ | $0.55 \%$ |
| Unitarian | 586,000 | $0.27 \%$ |
| Other | $2,992,000$ | $1.38 \%$ |
| None | $34,169,000$ | $15.79 \%$ |
| Total | $216,367,000$ | $100.00 \%$ |

# Nominal-level: College major 

| Declared major fields on two college campuses (fictitious data) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Major | College A | College B |
| Business | 103 | 3,120 |
| Natural sciences | 82 | 2,799 |
| Social sciences | 137 | 1,884 |
| Humanities | 93 | 2,176 |
| Total | 415 | 9,979 |

Declared major fields on two college campuses (fictitious data)

| Major | College A | College B |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Business | $24.82 \%$ | $31.27 \%$ |
| Natural sciences | $19.76 \%$ | $28.05 \%$ |
| Social sciences | $33.01 \%$ | $18.88 \%$ |
| Humanities | $22.41 \%$ | $21.81 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.01 \%$ |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.27. | $(415)$ | $(9,979)$ |

## Ordinal-level: Birth control

Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that the University Health Center should provide condoms and other "safe sex" items on demand and at no additional cost to students?

| Response | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree | 350 | $25.55 \%$ |
| Agree | 462 | $33.72 \%$ |
| Disagree | 348 | $25.40 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 210 | $15.33 \%$ |
| Total | 1,370 | $100.00 \%$ |

Aggregating categories...

| Response | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree or Agree | 812 | $59.27 \%$ |
| Disagree or Strongly disagree | 558 | $40.73 \%$ |
| Total | 1,370 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Interval-ratio-level variables

- Frequency distributions for interval-ratio-level variables is more complex than for nominal and ordinal variables
- Large number of scores
- Requires collapsing or grouping of categories
- Decide the number of categories and the width of those categories
- Class intervals refer to the categories used in the frequency distribution


## Interval-ratio-level: Age

Age of students in a college class (fictitious data)

| Interval width = 1 year of age |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Ages | Frequency |
| 18 | 5 |
| 19 | 6 |
| 20 | 3 |
| 21 | 2 |
| 22 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 24 | 1 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 26 | 1 |
| Total | 20 |

## Interval-ratio-level: Stated limits

- Stated class limits are separated by a distance of one unit

Age of students in a college class (fictitious data)

| Interval width = 2 years of age |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ages | Frequency | Percentage |
| $18-19$ | 11 | $55.0 \%$ |
| $20-21$ | 5 | $25.0 \%$ |
| $22-23$ | 2 | $10.0 \%$ |
| $24-25$ | 1 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $26-27$ | 1 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | 20 | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 32.

## Interval-ratio-level: Midpoints

- Midpoints are exactly halfway between the upper and lower limits of a class interval and can be found by dividing the sum of the upper and lower limits by 2

Class interval width = 3

| Class interval | Midpoint |
| :---: | ---: |
| $0-2$ | 1.0 |
| $3-5$ | 4.0 |
| $6-8$ | 7.0 |
| $9-11$ | 10.0 |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 33.

| Class interval width = $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Class interval | Midpoint |
| $100-105$ | 102.5 |
| $106-111$ | 108.5 |
| $112-117$ | 114.5 |
| $118-123$ | 120.5 |

## Interval-ratio-level: Real limits

- Real class limits treat the variable as
continuous

| Stated limits | Real limits |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18-19$ | $17.5 \mid-19.5$ |
| $20-21$ | $19.5 \mid-21.5$ |
| $22-23$ | $21.5 \mid-23.5$ |
| $24-25$ | $23.5 \mid-25.5$ |
| $26-27$ | $25.5 \mid-27.5$ |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 34.

| Class intervals <br> (stated limits) | Real class limits |
| :---: | ---: |
| $3-5$ | $3.0-5.9$ |
| $6-8$ | $6.0-8.9$ |
| $9-11$ | $9.0-11.9$ |
| Class intervals |  |
| (stated limits) |  | Real class limits $\quad$| $100-105$ | $99.5-105.5$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $106-111$ | $105.5-111.5$ |
| $112-117$ | $111.5-117.5$ |
| $118-123$ | $117.5-123.5$ |

## Cumulative frequency and cumulative percentage

- These columns inform how many cases fall below a given score or class interval

Age of students in a college class (fictitious data)

| Age | Frequency | Cumulative <br> frequency | Percentage | Cumulative <br> percentage |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-19$ | 11 | 11 | $55.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| $20-21$ | 5 | 16 | $25.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| $22-23$ | 2 | 18 | $10.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| $24-25$ | 1 | 19 | $5.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| $26-27$ | 1 | 20 | $5.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 20 |  | $100.0 \%$ |  |

## Unequal class intervals

- Open-ended interval is an alternative to handle a few very high (or low) scores

| Age of students in a college class (fictitio |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Frequency | Cumulative <br> frequency |
| $18-19$ | 11 | 11 |
| $20-21$ | 5 | 16 |
| $22-23$ | 2 | 18 |
| $24-25$ | 1 | 19 |
| $26-27$ | 1 | 20 |
| 28 and older | 1 | 21 |
| Total | 21 |  |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 36 .

## Intervals of unequal size

Distribution of income by household, United States, 2011

| Income | Percentage <br> of households | Cumulative <br> percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$ | $5.8 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ | $11.4 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | $10.6 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | $13.9 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ | $18.0 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | $11.7 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | $12.1 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 199,999$ | $4.4 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 200,000$ and above | $4.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 37.

## Person-years

- Person-years is the sum of each individual's time at risk of experiencing an event (e.g. birth, death, migration)
- For those who do not experience event, person-years is the sum of time until end of period
- For those who experience event, it is the time until the event
- Period person-years lived (PPYL) take into account that people are present during part of the period (fraction of years)
- Each full year that a person is present in a period, he/she contributes one "person-year" to the total of PPYL
- Each month a person is present in the population, he/she contributes 1 person-month, or $1 / 12$ person-year, to PPYL


## Example of person-years

Hypothetical population increasing at the rate of 0.001 per month

| Month | Population | Person-years <br> (population / 12) | Approximation for person-years <br> Mid-period |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average of <br> start and end |  |  |  |  |
| January | 200.00 | 16.67 |  | 200.00 |
| February | 200.20 | 16.68 |  |  |
| March | 200.40 | 16.70 |  |  |
| April | 200.60 | 16.72 |  |  |
| May | 200.80 | 16.73 |  |  |
| June | 201.00 | 16.75 |  |  |
| July | 201.20 | 16.77 | 201.20 | 202.21 |
| August | 201.40 | 16.78 |  | 201.11 |
| September | 201.61 | 16.80 |  |  |
| October | 201.81 | 16.82 |  |  |
| November | 202.01 | 16.83 |  | 201.20 |
| December | 202.21 | 16.85 |  |  |
| Period person-years |  | 201.10 |  |  |
| lived (PPYL) |  |  |  |  |

## Calculating person-years

- Whenever we know the population sizes on each month over the period of a year
- We can add up the person-years month by month
- Take the number of people present on each month and divide by 12
- Add up all monthly contributions
- When our subintervals are small enough
- Our sum is virtually equal to the area under the curve of population as a function of time during the period...


## Person-years and areas

Population Size


## Approximation for PPYL

- When sequences of population sizes throughout a period are unknown
- Take the population in the middle of the period and multiply by the length of the period
- E.g., for 2005-2015, we take the mid-period count of $308,745,000$ people in the U.S. from the 2010 Census and multiply by 10 years to obtain $3,087,450,000$ person-years in the period
- Or take the average of the starting and ending populations and multiply by the length of the period


## Rates, probabilities, ratios

- Rates
- Describe the number of occurrences of an event for a given number of individuals who had the chance to experience that event per unit of time
- Probabilities
- Divides the number of events by the total number of people at risk in the relevant time frame
- Ratios
- Compare the size of one group to the size of another group


## Rates

(Fleurence, Hollenbeak 2007)

- Rates are an instantaneous measure that range from zero to infinity
- Rates describe the number of occurrences of an event for a given number of individuals per unit of time
- Rates consider the time spent at risk
- Numerator
- Number of events (e.g. births, deaths, migrations)
- Denominator includes time
- Sum of each individual's time at risk of experiencing an event for a specific population during a certain time period (person-years)
- We can use approximations for the denominator
- Population in the middle of the period or
- Average of starting and ending populations for that period


## Examples of rates

- Express the number of actual occurrences of an event (e.g. births, deaths, homicides) vs. number of possible occurrences per some unit of time
- Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crude birth rate }=\frac{\text { Number of births }}{\text { Total population }} \times 1000 \\
& \text { Crude death rate }=\frac{\text { Number of deaths }}{\text { Total population }} \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

## CBR and CDR

- Crude Birth Rate (CBR or b)
- Number of births to members of the population in the period divided by the total period person-years lived
- Crude Death Rate (CDR or $d$ )
- Number of deaths to members of the population in the period divided by the total period person-years lived


## Crude birth rates,

 United States, 1950-2100

Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017 https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).

## Crude death rates, United States, 1950-2100



Year

Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017 https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).

## Infant mortality rate (IMR)

$I M R=\frac{\text { the number of deaths under age } 1 \text { in the period }}{\text { the number of live births in the period }}$

- IMR is a period measure
- It uses current information from vital registration
- It can be computed for countries without reliable census or other source for a count of the population at risk by age
- Infants born by teenagers and by older mothers are at higher risk


## Infant mortality rates, United States, 1950-2100



Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017 https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).

## Probabilities

(Fleurence, Hollenbeak 2007)

- Probabilities describe the likelihood that an event will occur for a single individual in a given time period and range from 0 to 1
- Does not include time in the denominator
- Divides the number of events by the total number of people at risk in the relevant time frame
- Conversion between rates and probabilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { probability: } p=1-e^{-r t} \\
& \text { rate: } r=-1 / t * \ln (1-p)
\end{aligned}
$$

- An approximation for the denominator is the population at the beginning of the period


## Ratios

- Describe a relationship between two numbers
- Compare the size of one group to the size of another group
- Compare the relative sizes of categories
- Indicate how many times the first number contains the second
- Denominator is not at "risk" of moving to numerator
- Optional: multiply by 100 to get percentage

$$
\text { Sex ratio }=\frac{\text { Population of males }}{\text { Population of females }}
$$

Total dependency ratio $=\frac{\text { Pop. children }(0 \text { to } 14)+\text { Elderly pop. }(65+)}{\text { Working age population }(15 \text { to } 64)}$

## Sex ratios, 1950-2015



-     - Reference

Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017 https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/

## Total dependency ratios, India, China, United States



Source: United Nations Population Division

## Dependency ratios, Brazil, 1950-2050



Source: United Nations - http://esa.un.org/unpp (medium variant).

## Percentage change

- Measures the relative increase or decrease in a variable over time

$$
\text { Percent change }=\left(\frac{f_{2}-f_{1}}{f_{1}}\right) \times 100
$$

- $f_{1}$ is the first (or earlier) frequency
- $f_{2}$ is the second (or later) frequency
- Percentage change can be calculated with percentages, rates, or other values
- If positive, it indicates an increase from time 1 to 2
- If negative, it indicates a decrease from time 1 to 2


## Example of percentage change

- In a country, the population of college graduates rose from $8 \%$ in 2000 to $13 \%$ in 2010
- By how much is the population of college graduates higher in 2010, relative to 2000?
- Percentage point: the population of college graduates experienced a 5 percentage point increase $(13-8)$ in the period
- Percentage change: the population of college graduates is 62.5\% higher in 2010 than in 2000
Percent change $=\left(\frac{13-8}{8}\right) \times 100=\left(\frac{5}{8}\right) \times 100=(0.625) \times 100=62.5 \%$


## Example of percentage change

Projected population growth for six nations, 2012-2050

| Nation | Population, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Population, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 5 0}\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Increase <br> or decrease <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Percentage <br> change <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) /\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)^{* 100}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| China | $1,350,400,000$ | $1,310,700,000$ | $-39,700,000$ | -2.96 |
| United States | $313,900,000$ | $422,600,000$ | $108,700,000$ | 34.63 |
| Nigeria | $170,100,000$ | $402,400,000$ | $232,300,000$ | 136.57 |
| Mexico | $116,100,000$ | $143,900,000$ | $27,800,000$ | 23.94 |
| United Kingdom | $63,200,000$ | $79,600,000$ | $16,400,000$ | 25.95 |
| Canada | $34,900,000$ | $48,600,000$ | $13,700,000$ | 39.26 |

Source: Healey 2015, p.44.

## Using graphs to present data

- Pie charts, bar graphs, histograms, and line charts present frequency distributions graphically
- Graphs and charts are commonly used ways of presenting "pictures" of research results
- Graphs and charts are very useful ways to display the overall shape of a distribution


## Pie charts

- Pie charts are useful for discrete variables with only a few categories
- The pie is divided into segments, which are proportional in size to the percentage of cases in each category

Religious Identifications, $\begin{gathered}\text { Jewis } \\ 1 \%\end{gathered}$ United States, 2008

## Column charts

- Column charts are useful for discrete variables
- The categories are represented by columns
- The height of these columns corresponds to the number or percentage of cases in each category

Religious
Identifications, United States, 2008


## Histograms

- Most appropriate for continuous interval-ratio level variables
- It can be used for discrete interval-ratio level variables
- Look like column charts
- Use real limits instead of stated limits
- Categories (or scores) of the variable border each other (the sides of the columns touch)


## Age distribution,

 United States, 2010

# Age-sex structure, United States <br> 1950 

Bar chart


The dotted line indicates the excess male or female population in certain age groups.
Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017
https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).

##  2017



The dotted line indicates the excess male or female population in certain age groups.
Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017
https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).

## Age-sex structure, United States



The dotted line indicates the excess male or female population in certain age groups.
Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017
https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).
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## Age-sex structure, United States 2100



The dotted line indicates the excess male or female population in certain age groups.
Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects 2017
https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/ (medium variant).
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## Line charts

- Sometimes called frequency polygons
- Constructed similarly to a histogram, except graph a dot at each category's midpoint and then connect the dots
- Especially appropriate for continuous intervalratio level variables
- It can be used for discrete interval-ratio level variables


## Age distribution by gender, United States, 2010



Source: Healey 2015, p. 50.

## Marriage and divorce rates, United States, 1950-2008



Source: Healey 2015, p. 55.

## Age distribution, Brazil, 1970-2010



Source: 1970-2010 Brazilian Demographic Censuses.

## Education distribution, Brazil, 1970-2010



Source: 1970-2010 Brazilian Demographic Censuses.

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata (nominal-level variable) <br> . svyset [weight=wtssall], strata(vstrat) psu(vpsu) singleunit(scaled)

(sampling weights assumed)
pweight: wtssall
VCE: linearized
Single unit: scaled
Strata 1: vstrat
SU 1: vpsu
FPC 1: <zero>
-
. svy: tab religion
(running tabulate on estimation sample)

| Number of strata | $=$ | 65 | Number of obs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of PSUs | $=$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 4 9}$ |  |
|  |  | Population size | $=\mathbf{2 , 8 4 4 . 2 1 5 9}$ |
|  |  | Design df | $=$ |


| Religious <br> group | proportion |
| ---: | ---: |
| Protesta | .4744 |
| Catholic | .2348 |
| Jewish | .0199 |
| Other | .0534 |
| None | .2174 |
| Total | 1 |

[^0]
## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata (number of missing cases)

. tab religion, m

| Religious |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| group |$\quad$ Freq. $\quad$ Percent | Cum. |
| ---: |
| Protestant |
| Catholic |

## Edited table (nominal-level variable)

Table 1. Distribution of U.S. adult population by religious preference, 2016

| Religion | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: |
| Protestant | 47.44 |
| Catholic | 23.48 |
| Jewish | 1.99 |
| Other | 5.34 |
| None | 21.74 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| (sample size) | $\mathbf{( 2 , 8 4 9 )}$ |
| Missing cases | 18 |

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Edited figure (nominal-level variable)

Figure 1. Percentage distribution of U.S. adult population by religious preference, 2016


Note: Number of cases is equal to 2,849 . Missing cases are equal to 18.
Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata (ordinal-level variable)

```
. svy: tab rincome
(running tabulate on estimation sample)
```

Number of strata $=65$
Number of PSUs = 130

| Number of obs | $=1,581$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Population size | $=1,641.5236$ |  |
| Design df | $=$ | 65 |


| responden <br> ts income | proportion |
| :---: | ---: |
| lt $\$ 1000$ | .0169 |
| $\$ 1000$ to | .0354 |
| $\$ 3000$ to | .0216 |
| $\$ 4000$ to | .018 |
| $\$ 5000$ to | .0179 |
| $\$ 6000$ to | .0196 |
| $\$ 7000$ to | .017 |
| $\$ 8000$ to | .0175 |
| $\$ 10000-$ | .0674 |
| $\$ 15000-$ | .072 |
| $\$ 20000-$ | .0958 |
| $\$ 25000$ | .6008 |
| Total |  |

[^1]
## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata (number of missing cases)

. tab rincome, m

| respondents <br> income | Freq. | Percent | Cum. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| lt $\$ 1000$ | 25 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| $\$ 1000$ to 2999 | 51 | 1.78 | 2.65 |
| $\$ 3000$ to 3999 | 32 | 1.12 | 3.77 |
| $\$ 4000$ to 4999 | 30 | 1.05 | 4.81 |
| $\$ 5000$ to 5999 | 31 | 1.08 | 5.89 |
| $\$ 6000$ to 6999 | 31 | 1.08 | 6.98 |
| $\$ 7000$ to 7999 | 24 | 0.84 | 7.81 |
| $\$ 8000$ to 9999 | 34 | 1.19 | 9.00 |
| $\$ 10000-14999$ | 96 | 3.35 | 12.35 |
| $\$ 15000-19999$ | 112 | 3.91 | 16.25 |
| $\$ 20000-24999$ | 138 | 4.81 | 21.07 |
| $\$ 25000$ or more | 977 | 34.08 | 55.14 |
|  | 150 | 5.23 | 60.38 |
| .$a$ | 1,136 | 39.62 | 100.00 |
| .$i$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,867 | 100.00 |  |

## Edited table (ordinal-level variable)

Table 2. Distribution of U.S. adult population by income, 2016

| Respondents' income | Percentage | Cumulative <br> percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 1,000$ | 1.69 | 1.69 |
| $\$ 1,000$ to 2,999 | 3.54 | 5.23 |
| $\$ 3,000$ to 3,999 | 2.16 | 7.39 |
| $\$ 4,000$ to 4,999 | 1.80 | 9.19 |
| $\$ 5,000$ to 5,999 | 1.79 | 10.98 |
| $\$ 6,000$ to 6,999 | 1.96 | 12.94 |
| $\$ 7,000$ to 7,999 | 1.70 | 14.64 |
| $\$ 8,000$ to 9,999 | 1.75 | 16.39 |
| $\$ 10,000$ to 14,999 | 6.74 | 23.13 |
| $\$ 15,000$ to 19,999 | 7.20 | 30.33 |
| \$20,000 to 24,999 | 9.58 | 39.91 |
| $\$ 25,000$ or more | 60.08 | 100.00 |
| Total (n) | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}(\mathbf{1 , 5 8 1 )}$ |  |
| Refused / Don't know (n) | $(150)$ |  |
| Not applicable (n) | $(1,136)$ |  |


[^0]:    Key: proportion $=$ cell proportion

[^1]:    Key: proportion $=$ cell proportion

