# Lecture 3: Measures of central tendency and dispersion 

## Ernesto F. L. Amaral

September 8, 2022<br>Introduction to Sociological Data Analysis (SOCI 600)

Source: Healey, Joseph F. 2015. "Statistics: A Tool for Social Research." Stamford: Cengage Learning. 10th edition. Chapters 3 (pp. 66-90), 4 (pp. 91-121).

## Outline

- Measures of central tendency
- Measures of dispersion


## Measures of central tendency

- Univariate descriptive statistics
- Summarize information about the most typical, central, or common score of a variable
- Mode, median, and mean are different statistics and have same value only in certain situations
- Mode: most common score
- Median: score of the middle case
- Mean: average score
- They vary in terms of
- Level-of-measurement considerations
- How they define central tendency


## Mode

- The most common score
- Can be used with variables at all three levels of measurement
- Most often used with nominal-level variables


## Finding the mode

- Count the number of times each score occurred
- The score that occurs most often is the mode
- If the variable is presented in a frequency distribution, the mode is the largest category
- If the variable is presented in a line chart, the mode is the highest peak


## Example of mode

Top ten U.S. cities visited by overseas travelers, 2010

| City | Number of visitors |
| :--- | ---: |
| Boston | $1,186,000$ |
| Chicago | $1,134,000$ |
| Las Vegas | $2,425,000$ |
| Los Angeles | $3,348,000$ |
| Miami | $3,111,000$ |
| New York City | $8,462,000$ |
| Oahu / Honolulu | $1,634,000$ |
| Orlando | $2,750,000$ |
| San Francisco | $2,636,000$ |
| Washington, D.C. | $1,740,000$ |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.67. |  |

## Religious preference, U.S. adult population, 2016



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Religious preference, U.S. adult population, 2016



## Age distribution,

## U.S. adult population, 2016



## Age distribution by sex, U.S. adult population, 2016



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Age-sex structure, United States 2016 General Social Survey



## Limitations of mode

- Some distributions have no mode
- Some distributions have multiple modes

Distributions of scores on two tests

| Score (\% correct) | Test A <br> Frequency of scores | Test B <br> Frequency of scores |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 97 | 14 | 22 |
| 91 | 14 | 3 |
| 90 | 14 | 4 |
| 86 | 14 | 22 |
| 77 | 14 | 3 |
| 60 | 14 | 22 |
| 55 | 14 | 22 |
| Total | 98 | 98 |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.68. |  |  |

## Limitations of mode

- The mode of an ordinal or interval-ratio level variable may not be central to the whole distribution

| A distribution of test scores |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Score (\% correct) | Frequency |
| 93 | 8 |
| 68 | 3 |
| 67 | 4 |
| 66 | 2 |
| 62 | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.68. |  |

## Median

- The median $(M d)$ is the exact center of distribution of scores
- The score of the middle case
- It can be used with ordinal-level or interval-ratiolevel variables
- It cannot be used for nominal-level variables


## Finding the median

- Arrange the cases from low to high
- Or from high to low
- Locate the middle case
- If the number of cases $(N)$ is odd
- The median is the score of the middle case
- If the number of cases $(N)$ is even
- The median is the average of the scores of the two middle cases


## Example of median

Finding the median with seven cases ( $N$ is odd)

| Case | Score |
| :---: | ---: |
| A | 10 |
| B | 10 |
| C | 8 |
| D | 7 |
| E | 5 |
| F | 4 |
| G | 2 |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.69. |  |

## Example of median

Finding the median with eight cases ( $N$ is even)

| Case | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 10 |
| B | 10 |
| C | 8 |
| D | 7 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| E Median $=M d=(7+5) / 2=6$ |  |
| F | 5 |
| G | 4 |
| H | 2 |

## Other measures of position

- Percentiles
- Point below which a specific percentage of cases fall
- Deciles
- Divides distribution into tenths (10, 20, 30, ..., 90)
- Quartiles
- Divides distribution into quarters $(25,50,75)$
- The median falls at the 50th percentile or the 5 th decile or the 2nd quartile


## Manual calculation

- Arrange scores in order from low to high
- Multiply the number of cases $(N)$ by the proportional value of the percentile
- For example: the 75th percentile would be 0.75
- The resultant value marks the order number of the case that falls at the percentile


## Examples of manual calculation

- In a sample of 70 test grades we want to find the 4th decile (or 40th percentile)
$-70 \times 0.40=28$
- The 28th case is the 40th percentile
- In a sample of 70 test grades we want to find the 3rd quartile (or 75th percentile)
$-70 \times 0.75=52.5$, rounding to 53
- The 53rd case is the 75th percentile


## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- $75 \%$ of the population is younger than 60 years sum age [aweight=wtssall], d age of respondent

|  | Percentiles | Smallest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\% | 19 | 18 |  |  |
| 5\% | 21 | 18 |  |  |
| 10\% | 24 | 18 | Obs | 2,857 |
| 25\% | 33 | 18 | Sum of Wgt. | 2,855.4791 |
| 50\% | 47 |  | Mean | 47.56141 |
|  |  | Largest | Std. Dev. | 17.58891 |
| 75\% | 60 | 89 |  |  |
| 90\% | 72 | 89 | Variance | 309.3698 |
| 95\% | 78 | 89 | Skewness | . 2328772 |
| 99\% | 86 | 89 | Kurtosis | 2.161393 |

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- The "centile" command allows us to estimate any percentile, but weights are not allowed centile age, centile(37)
- $37 \%$ of the sample is younger than 41 years

| Variable | Obs | Percentile | Centile | — Binom. Interp. - |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |  |  |  |
| age | $\mathbf{2 , 8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |

## Mean

- The average score
- Requires variables measured at the interval-ratio level, but is often used with ordinal-level variables
- Cannot be used for nominal-level variables
- The mean (arithmetic average) is by far the most commonly used measure of central tendency


## Finding the mean

- Add all of the scores and then divide by the number of scores ( $N$ )
- The mathematical formula for the mean is

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{\sum\left(X_{i}\right)}{N}
$$

where $\bar{X}=$ the mean
$\Sigma\left(X_{i}\right)=$ the summation of the scores
$N=$ the number of cases

## Examples of mean, 2016 GSS

Mean income by sex
tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(sex) stat(mean)

| Sex | Mean income |
| :--- | ---: |
| Male | $41,282.78$ |
| Female | $28,109.34$ |
| Overall | $34,649.30$ |

Mean income by race/ethnicity
tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(raceeth) stat(mean)

| Race/ethnicity | Mean income |
| :--- | ---: |
| Non-Hispanic white | $38,845.62$ |
| Non-Hispanic black | $23,243.04$ |
| Hispanic | $23,128.92$ |
| Other | $50,156.35$ |
| Overall | $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 4 9 . 3 0}$ |

Mean income by age-group
tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(agegr1) stat(mean)

Age group
Mean income

| $18-24$ | $11,214.16$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $25-44$ | $32,863.93$ |
| $45-64$ | $42,552.21$ |
| $65-89$ | $30,848.29$ |
| Overall | $34,649.30$ |
|  |  |
| Source: 2016 General Social Survey. | $\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{M}$ |

## Mean income by age, U.S. adult population, 2016



## Mean income by age and sex,

 U.S. adult population, 2016

## Three characteristics of the mean

- Mean balances all the scores in a distribution
- All scores cancel out around the mean

$$
\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=0
$$

- Mean minimizes the variation of the scores, "least squares principle"

$$
\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=\text { minimum }
$$

- Mean is affected by all scores
- All scores are used in the calculation of the mean
- It can be misleading if the distribution has "outliers" A$]$


## Mean balances all the scores

- A demonstration showing that all scores cancel out around the mean

| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $65-78=-13$ |
| 73 | $73-78=-5$ |
| 77 | $77-78=-1$ |
| 85 | $85-78=7$ |
| 90 | $90-78=12$ |
| $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\mathbf{3 9 0}$ | $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\mathbf{3 9 0} / \mathbf{5}=\mathbf{7 8}$ |  |

[^0]
## Mean minimizes variation

- A demonstration showing that the mean is the point of minimized variation
- If we performed these operations with any number other than the mean (e.g., 77), the result would be a sum greater than 388

| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\mathbf{7 7}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $65-78=-13$ | $(-13)^{2}=169$ | $(65-77)^{2}=(-12)^{2}=144$ |
| 73 | $73-78=-5$ | $(-5)^{2}=25$ | $(73-77)^{2}=(-4)^{2}=16$ |
| 77 | $77-78=-1$ | $(-1)^{2}=1$ | $(77-77)^{2}=(0)^{2}=0$ |
| 85 | $85-78=7$ | $(7)^{2}=49$ | $(85-77)^{2}=(8)^{2}=64$ |
| 90 | $90-78=12$ | $(12)^{2}=144$ | $(90-77)^{2}=(13)^{2}=169$ |
| $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\mathbf{3 9 0}$ | $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ | $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{3 8 8}$ | $\sum\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-77\right)^{2}=\mathbf{3 9 3}$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\mathbf{7 8}$ |  |  |  |
| Source: Healey 2015, p.75. |  |  |  |

## Mean is affected by all scores

- A demonstration showing that the mean is affected by every score

| Scores | Measures <br> of central <br> tendency | Scores | Measures <br> of central <br> tendency | Scores | Measures <br> of central <br> tendency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Mean $=25$ | 15 | Mean $=718$ | 0 | Mean $=22$ |
| 20 |  | 20 |  | 20 |  |
| 25 | Median $=25$ | 25 | Median $=25$ | 25 | Median $=25$ |
| 30 |  | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| 35 |  | 3500 |  | 35 |  |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 76.

## Mean is affected by all scores

- Strength
- The mean uses all the available information from the variable
- Weaknesses
- The mean is affected by every score
- If there are some very high or low scores
- Extreme scores: "outliers"
- The mean may be misleading
- This is the case of skewed distributions


## Skewed distributions

- When a distribution has a few very high or low scores, the mean will be pulled in the direction of the extreme scores
- For a positive skew
- The mean will be greater than the median
- For a negative skew
- The mean will be less than the median
- When an interval-ratio-level variable has a pronounced skew, the median may be the more trustworthy measure of central tendency


## Positively skewed distribution

- The mean is greater in value than the median


Source: Healey 2015, p. 77.

## Negatively skewed distribution

- The mean is less than the median



## Symmetrical distribution

- The mean and median are equal


Source: Healey 2015, p. 77.

## Income distribution, U.S. adult population, 2016



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Level of measurement

- Relationship between level of measurement and measures of central tendency

| Measure <br> of central <br> tendency | Level of measurement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode | Nominal | Ordinal | Interval-ratio |
| Median | YES | Yes | Yes |
| Mean | No | YES | Yes |

- YES: most appropriate measure for each level
- Yes: measure is also permitted
- Yes (?): mean is often used with ordinal-level variables, but this practice violates level-ofmeasurement guidelines
- No: cannot be computed for that level


## Summary to choose measure

Use the mode when: 1. The variable is measured at the nominal level.
2. You want a quick and easy measure for ordinal- and interval-ratio-level variables.
3. You want to report the most common score.

Use the median when: 1. The variable is measured at the ordinal level.
2. An interval-ratio variable is badly skewed.
3. You want to report the central score. The median always lies at the exact center of the distribution.
Use the mean when: 1. The variable is measured at the interval-ratio level (except when the variable is badly skewed).
2. You want to report the typical score. The mean is the statistics that exactly balances all of the scores.
3. You anticipate additional statistical analysis.

## Measures of dispersion

- Explain the purpose of measures of dispersion
- Compute and interpret these measures
- Range ( $R$ ), interquartile range ( $Q$ or $I Q R$ )
- Standard deviation ( $s$ ), variance ( $s^{2}$ )
- Select an appropriate measure of dispersion and correctly calculate and interpret the statistic
- Describe and explain the mathematical characteristics of the standard deviation
- Analyze a boxplot


## Concept of dispersion

- Dispersion refers to the variety, diversity, or amount of variation among scores
- The greater the dispersion of a variable, the greater the range of scores and the greater the differences between scores
- Examples
- Typically, a large city will have more diversity than a small town
- Some states (California, New York) are more racially diverse than others (Maine, lowa)


## Ambulance assistance

- Examples below have similar means
- 7.4 minutes for service $A$ and 7.6 minutes for service B
- Service A is more consistent in its response
- Less dispersion than service B




## Range ( $R$ )

- Range indicates the distance between the highest and lowest scores in a distribution
- Range $(R)=$ Highest Score - Lowest Score
- Quick and easy indication of variability
- Can be used with ordinal-level or interval-ratiolevel variables
- Why can't the range be used with variables measured at the nominal level?
- For these variables, use frequency distributions to analyze dispersion


## Limitations of range

- Range is based on only two scores
- It is distorted by atypically high or low scores
- Influenced by outliers
- No information about variation between high and low scores


## Interquartile range (Q or IQR)

- A type of range measure
- Considers only the middle $50 \%$ of the cases in a distribution
- Avoids some of the problems of the range by focusing on just the middle $50 \%$ of scores
- Avoids the influence of outliers



## Limitation of interquartile range

- The interquartile range is based on only two scores
- It fails to yield any information from all of the other scores
- Based only on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{3}$


## Birth rates for 40 nations, 2012

(number of births per 1000 population)


## Examples of $R$ and $/ Q R$

- Range $=$ Highest score - Lowest score $=46-8=38$
- Interquartile range (IQR)
- Locate $Q_{3}$ (75th percentile) and $Q_{1}$ (25th percentile)
- $Q_{3}: 0.75 \times 40=30$ th case
- Kenya is the 30th case with a birth rate of 35
$-Q_{1}: 0.25 \times 40=10$ th case
- United States is the 10th case with a birth rate of 13
- Difference of these values is interquartile range
- $I Q R=Q 3-Q 1=35-13=22$


## Standard deviation

- The most important and widely used measure of dispersion
- It should be used with interval-ratio-level variables, but is often used with ordinal-level variables
- Good measure of dispersion
- Uses all scores in the distribution
- Describes the average or typical deviation of the scores
- Increases in value as the distribution of scores becomes more diverse


## Interpreting standard deviation

- It is an index of variability that increases in value as the distribution becomes more variable
- It allows us to compare distributions
- It can be interpreted in terms of normal deviation
- We will discuss on Chapter 5


## Formulas

- Standard deviation and variance are based on the distance between each score and the mean
- Formula for variance

$$
s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{N}
$$

- Formula for standard deviation

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{N}}
$$

## Step-by-step calculation of $s$

- Subtract mean from each score: $\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)$
- Square the deviations: $\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$
- Sum the squared deviations: $\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$
- Divide the sum of squared deviations by $N$ :

$$
\frac{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{N}
$$

- Square root brings value back to original unit:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{N}}
$$

|  | Age ( $X_{i}$ ) | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ | $\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 18-19 = - 1 | $(-1)^{2}=1$ |
|  | 19 | $19-19=0$ | $(0)^{2}=0$ |
|  | 20 | $20-19=1$ | $(1)^{2}=1$ |
|  | 18 | 18-19 = - 1 | $(-1)^{2}=1$ |
|  | 20 | $20-19=1$ | $(1)^{2}=1$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \sum\left(X_{i}\right)=95 \\ \bar{X}=95 / 5=19 \end{gathered}$ | $\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=0$ | $\begin{gathered} \sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=4 \\ s=\sqrt{4 / 5}=0.89 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

This residential campus is less diverse with respect to age

$$
(s=0.9)
$$

than this urban
campus ( $s=4.2$ ).

|  | State | Homicide rate | Deviation | Deviation squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Connecticut | 3.6 | 0.88 | 0.77 |
|  | Massachusetts | 3.2 | 0.48 | 0.23 |
|  | Rhode Island | 2.8 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
|  | Vermont | 2.2 | -0.52 | 0.27 |
|  | Maine | 1.8 | -0.92 | 0.85 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \sum\left(X_{i}\right)=13.6 \\ \bar{X}=2.72 \end{gathered}$ | $\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=2.13 \\ & s=\sqrt{2.13 / 5}=0.66 \end{aligned}$ |
| Western states | State | Homicide rate | Deviation | Deviation squared |
|  | Arizona | 6.4 | 2.02 | 4.08 |
|  | Nevada | 5.9 | 1.52 | 2.31 |
|  | California | 4.9 | 0.52 | 0.27 |
|  | Oregon | 2.4 | -1.98 | 3.92 |
|  | Washington | 2.3 | -2.08 | 4.33 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \sum\left(X_{i}\right)=21.9 \\ \bar{X}=4.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=0$ | $\begin{gathered} \sum_{i}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=14.91 \\ s=\sqrt{14.91 / 5}=1.73 \end{gathered}$ |

## Reporting several variables

- Measures of central tendency (e.g., mean) and dispersion (e.g., standard deviation)
- Valuable descriptive statistics
- Basis for many analytical techniques
- Most often presented in summary tables

Characteristics of the sample

| Variable | Mean | Standard <br> deviation | Number <br> of cases |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 33.2 | 1.3 | 1,078 |
| Number of children | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1,078 |
| Years married | 7.8 | 1.5 | 1,052 |
| Income (in dollars) | 55,786 | 1,500 | 987 |

Source: Healey 2015, p. 110.

## Parental engagement

- Means and standard deviations for number of days per week each parent engaged with child
- How does maternal engagement compare to paternal engagement?
- How does married engagement compare to cohabiting engagement?
- How does engagement change over time?

Parental engagement by age of child, gender, and marital status


## Income: Central tendency

- Median
- Increases in income of the average American household
- Mean
- Increases in average income for all American households

Median and mean household incomes, United States, 1967-2011


## Income: Dispersion increased

- The increase was not shared equally
- Low-income households: no growth
- High-income households: robust increases

Percentiles of household income, United States, 1967-2011


## Boxplots

- Boxplot is also known as "box and whiskers plot"
- It provides a way to visualize and analyze dispersion
- Useful when comparing distributions
- It uses median, range, interquartile range, outliers
- Easier to read all this information than in tables



## Income by sex, 2016

| Statistics for individual income | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowest score | 363.00 | 363.00 |
| Q1 | 15,427.50 | 9,982.50 |
| Median | 32,670.00 | 19,965.00 |
| Q3 | 49,005.00 | 32,670.00 |
| Highest score | 189,211.46 | 189,211.46 |
| IQR | 33,577.50 | 22,687.50 |
| Mean | 41,282.78 | 28,109.34 |
| Standard deviation | 41,295.31 | 30,201.87 |

## Commands in Stata

```
tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall],
by(sex) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr
mean sd)
graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall],
over(sex) ytitle(Individual income in
constant dollars)
```

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.


## Income by age group, 2016

| Statistics for <br> individual income | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lowest score | 363.00 | 363.00 | 363.00 | 363.00 |
| Q1 | $3,267.00$ | $13,612.50$ | $15,427.50$ | $8,167.50$ |
| Median | $8,167.50$ | $23,595.00$ | $32,670.00$ | $19,965.00$ |
| Q3 | $15,427.50$ | $39,930.00$ | $49,005.00$ | $39,930.00$ |
| Highest score | $72,600.00$ | $189,211.46$ | $189,211.46$ | $189,211.46$ |
| IQR | $12,160.50$ | $26,317.50$ | $33,577.50$ | $31,762.50$ |
| Mean | $11,214.16$ | $32,863.93$ | $42,552.21$ | $30,848.29$ |
| Standard deviation | $11,787.32$ | $33,269.47$ | $41,486.09$ | $33,303.36$ |

## Commands in Stata

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(agegr1) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr mean sd)
graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegrl) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.


## Income by race/ethnicity, 2016

| Statistics for <br> individual income | Non-Hispanic <br> white | Non-Hispanic <br> black | Hispanic | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lowest score | 363.00 | 363.00 | 363.00 | 363.00 |
| Q1 | $13,612.50$ | $8,167.50$ | $8,167.50$ | $8,167.50$ |
| Median | $27,225.00$ | $19,965.00$ | $17,242.50$ | $27,225.00$ |
| Q3 | $49,005.00$ | $32,670.00$ | $32,670.00$ | $72,600.00$ |
| Highest score | $189,211.46$ | $101,640.00$ | $189,211.46$ | $189,211.46$ |
| IQR | $35,392.50$ | $24,502.50$ | $24,502.50$ | $64,432.50$ |
| Mean | $38,845.62$ | $23,243.04$ | $23,128.92$ | $50,156.35$ |
| Standard deviation | $39,157.17$ | $19,671.53$ | $21,406.31$ | $59,219.90$ |

## Commands in Stata

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(raceeth) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr mean sd)
graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.


## Income by sex and age group,

## 2016



## Command in Stata

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(agegr1) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)


## Command in Stata

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegr1) over(sex) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

## Income by sex and race/ethnicity, 2016



## Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)


## Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) over(sex)
ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Income by age group and race/ethnicity, 2016



## Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegr1) over(raceeth)
ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)


## Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) over(agegr1)
ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

## Income by sex, age group, and race/ethnicity, 2016


graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(agegr1) over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)
Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- Respondents' income in constant dollars sum conrinc [aweight=wtssall], d respondent income in constant dollars

|  | Percentiles | Smallest |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1 \%$ | 363 | 363 |  |  |
| $5 \%$ | 1452 | 363 |  |  |
| $10 \%$ | 3993 | 363 | Obs | 1,632 |
| $25 \%$ | 11797.5 | 363 | Sum of Wgt. | $1,695.2263$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 \%$ | 23595 |  | Mean | 34649.3 |
|  | 39930 | 189211.5 |  | 36722.06 |
| $75 \%$ | 72600 | 189211.5 | Variance | $1.35 e+09$ |
| $90 \%$ | 101640 | 189211.5 | Skewness | 2.538394 |
| $95 \%$ | 189211.5 | 189211.5 | Kurtosis | 10.63267 |

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- Respondents' income in constant dollars codebook conrinc

| type: numeric (double) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| label | LABW, but 26 nonmissing | values are | t labeled |
| range: | [363, 189211.46] | units: | . 01 |
| unique values: | 26 | missing .: | 0/2,867 |
| unique mv codes: | 1 | missing .*: | 1,235/2,867 |
| examples: | 17242.5 |  |  |
|  | 39930 |  |  |
|  | .i IAP |  |  |
|  | .i IAP |  |  |

## Edited table

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of respondents' income in constant dollars, U.S. adult population, 2016

| Statistics | Income |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mean | $34,649.30$ |
| Minimum | 363.00 |
| 25th percentile | $11,797.50$ |
| Median | $23,595.00$ |
| 75th percentile | $39,930.00$ |
| Maximum | $189,211.50$ |
| Range | $188,848.50$ |
| Interquartile range | $28,132.50$ |
| Standard deviation | $36,722.06$ |
| Sample size | 1,632 |
| Missing cases | 1,235 |

## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- Respondents' income in constant dollars hist conrinc, percent normal



## Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- Generate box plot for respondents' income in constant dollars
graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall],
ytitle(Respondents' income in constant dollars)


## Edited figure

Figure 1. Distribution of respondents' income in constant dollars, U.S. adult population, 2016


Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

## Summary

- Measures of dispersions are higher for more diverse groups
- Larger samples and populations
- Measures of dispersions decrease, as diversity or variety decreases
- Smaller samples and more homogeneous groups
- The lowest possible value for range and standard deviation is zero
- In this case, there is no dispersion


[^0]:    Source: Healey 2015, p. 74.

