Lecture 3: Measures of central tendency and dispersion

Ernesto F. L. Amaral

September 12–14, 2023 Introduction to Sociological Data Analysis (SOCI 600)

www.ernestoamaral.com

Source: Healey, Joseph F. 2015. "Statistics: A Tool for Social Research." Stamford: Cengage Learning. 10th edition. Chapters 3 (pp. 66–90), 4 (pp. 91–121).



Outline

- Measures of central tendency
- Measures of dispersion



Measures of central tendency

- Univariate descriptive statistics
 - Summarize information about the most typical, central, or common score of a variable
- Mode, median, and mean are different statistics and have same value only in certain situations
 - Mode: most common score
 - Median: score of the middle case
 - Mean: average score
- They vary in terms of
 - Level-of-measurement considerations
 - How they define central tendency



Mode

- The most common score
- Can be used with variables at all three levels of measurement
- Most often used with nominal-level variables



Finding the mode

- Count the number of times each score occurred
- The score that occurs most often is the mode
- If the variable is presented in a frequency distribution, the mode is the largest category
- If the variable is presented in a line chart, the mode is the highest peak



Example of mode

Top ten U.S. cities visited by overseas travelers, 2010

City	Number of visitors
Boston	1,186,000
Chicago	1,134,000
Las Vegas	2,425,000
Los Angeles	3,348,000
Miami	3,111,000
New York City	8,462,000
Oahu / Honolulu	1,634,000
Orlando	2,750,000
San Francisco	2,636,000
Washington, D.C.	1,740,000

Source: Healey 2015, p.67.



Religious preference, U.S. adult population, 2016



Religious preference, U.S. adult population, 2016



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

8

Age distribution, U.S. adult population, 2016



Age distribution by sex, U.S. adult population, 2016



Age-sex structure, United States

2016 General Social Survey



-1

Limitations of mode

- Some distributions have no mode
- Some distributions have multiple modes
 Distributions of scores on two tests

Score (% correct)	Test A Frequency of scores	Test B Frequency of scores
97	14	22
91	14	3
90	14	4
86	14	22
77	14	3
60	14	22
55	14	22
Total	98	98
Source: Healey 2015, p.6	8.	

Limitations of mode

 The mode of an ordinal or interval-ratio level variable may not be central to the whole distribution

Score (% correct)	Frequency
93	8
68	3
67	4
66	2
62	7
Total	24

A distribution of test scores

Source: Healey 2015, p.68.



Median

- The median (*Md*) is the exact center of distribution of scores
- The score of the middle case
- It can be used with ordinal-level or interval-ratiolevel variables
- It cannot be used for nominal-level variables



Finding the median

- Arrange the cases from low to high
 Or from high to low
- Locate the middle case
- If the number of cases (N) is odd
 The median is the score of the middle case
- If the number of cases (N) is even
 - The median is the average of the scores of the two middle cases

Example of median

Finding the median with seven cases (*N* is odd)

Case	Score	
A	10	
В	10	
С	8	
D	7	← Median = <i>Md</i>
Е	5	
F	4	
G	2	

Source: Healey 2015, p.69.



Example of median

Finding the median with eight cases (*N* is even)

Case	Score	
A	10	
В	10	
С	8	
D	7	
		← Median = <i>Md</i> = (7+5) / 2 = 6
F	5	
L	0	
F	4	
G	2	
Н	1	
<u> </u>	0045 00	



Source: Healey 2015, p.69.

Other measures of position

Percentiles

- Point below which a specific percentage of cases fall
- Deciles
 - Divides distribution into tenths (10, 20, 30, ..., 90)

Quartiles

- Divides distribution into quarters (25, 50, 75)
- The median falls at the 50th percentile or the 5th decile or the 2nd quartile



Manual calculation

- Arrange scores in order from low to high
- Multiply the number of cases (*N*) by the proportional value of the percentile
 - For example: the 75th percentile would be 0.75
- The resultant value marks the order number of the case that falls at the percentile



Examples of manual calculation

- In a sample of 70 test grades we want to find the 4th decile (or 40th percentile)
 - $-70 \times 0.40 = 28$
 - The 28th case is the 40th percentile
- In a sample of 70 test grades we want to find the 3rd quartile (or 75th percentile)
 - 70 x 0.75 = 52.5, rounding to 53
 - The 53rd case is the 75th percentile



Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

 75% of the population is younger than 60 years sum age [aweight=wtssall], d

age of respondent

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	19	18		
5%	21	18		
10%	24	18	Obs	2,857
25%	33	18	Sum of Wgt.	2,855.4791
50%	47		Mean	47.56141
		Largest	Std. Dev.	17.58891
75%	60	89		
90%	72	89	Variance	309.3698
95%	78	89	Skewness	.2328772
99%	86	89	Kurtosis	2.161393



Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

- The "centile" command allows us to estimate any percentile, but weights are not allowed centile age, centile(37)
- 37% of the sample is younger than 41 years

Variable	Obs	Percentile	Centile	— Binom. [95% Conf.	Interp. — Interval]
age	2,857	37	41	40	42



Mean

- The average score
- Requires variables measured at the interval-ratio level, but is often used with ordinal-level variables
- Cannot be used for nominal-level variables
- The mean (arithmetic average) is by far the most commonly used measure of central tendency



Finding the mean

- Add all of the scores and then divide by the number of scores (*N*)
- The mathematical formula for the mean is

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum(X_i)}{N}$$

where \overline{X} = the mean $\sum(X_i)$ = the summation of the scores N = the number of cases



Examples of mean, 2016 GSS

Mean income by sex

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(sex) stat(mean)

Sex	Mean income
Male	41,282.78
Female	28,109.34
Overall	34,649.30

Mean income by race/ethnicity

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(raceeth) stat(mean)

Race/ethnicity	Mean income
Non-Hispanic white	38,845.62
Non-Hispanic black	23,243.04
Hispanic	23,128.92
Other	50,156.35
Overall	34,649.30

Mean income by age-group

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(agegr1) stat(mean)

Age group	Mean income
18–24	11,214.16
25–44	32,863.93
45–64	42,552.21
65–89	30,848.29
Overall	34,649.30



Mean income by age, U.S. adult population, 2016



Mean income by age and sex, U.S. adult population, 2016



Three characteristics of the mean

- Mean balances all the scores in a distribution
 - All scores cancel out around the mean

$$\sum (X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$$

 Mean minimizes the variation of the scores, "least squares principle"

$$\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = minimum$$

- Mean is affected by all scores
 - All scores are used in the calculation of the mean
 - It can be misleading if the distribution has "outliers"

Mean balances all the scores

• A demonstration showing that all scores cancel out around the mean

X _i	$X_i - \overline{X}$
65	65 – 78 = –13
73	73 – 78 = –5
77	77 – 78 = –1
85	85 – 78 = 7
90	90 – 78 = 12
$\sum_{\overline{\mathbf{V}}} (X_i) = 390$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$
A = 390 / 5 = 78	

Source: Healey 2015, p.74.



Mean minimizes variation

- A demonstration showing that the mean is the point of minimized variation
 - If we performed these operations with any number other than the mean (e.g., 77), the result would be a sum greater than 388

X _i	$X_i - \overline{X}$	$(X_i - \overline{X})^2$	$(X_i - 77)^2$
65	65 – 78 = –13	$(-13)^2 = 169$	$(65 - 77)^2 = (-12)^2 = 144$
73	73 – 78 = –5	$(-5)^2 = 25$	$(73 - 77)^2 = (-4)^2 = 16$
77	77 – 78 = –1	$(-1)^2 = 1$	$(77 - 77)^2 = (0)^2 = 0$
85	85 – 78 = 7	$(7)^2 = 49$	$(85 - 77)^2 = (8)^2 = 64$
90	90 – 78 = 12	$(12)^2 = 144$	$(90 - 77)^2 = (13)^2 = 169$
$\sum(X_i) = 390$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 388$	$\sum (X_i - 77)^2 = 393$
\overline{X} = 78			

Mean is affected by all scores

 A demonstration showing that the mean is affected by every score

Scores	Measures of central tendency	Scores	Measures of central tendency	Scores	Measures of central tendency
15	Mean = 25	15	Mean = 718	0	Mean = 22
20		20		20	
25	Median = 25	25	Median = 25	25	Median = 25
30		30		30	
35		3500		35	

Source: Healey 2015, p.76.



Mean is affected by all scores

Strength

 The mean uses all the available information from the variable

Weaknesses

- The mean is affected by every score
- If there are some very high or low scores
 - Extreme scores: "outliers"
 - The mean may be misleading
 - This is the case of skewed distributions



Skewed distributions

- When a distribution has a few very high or low scores, the mean will be pulled in the direction of the extreme scores
- For a positive skew
 - The mean will be greater than the median
- For a negative skew
 - The mean will be less than the median
- When an interval-ratio-level variable has a pronounced skew, the median may be the more trustworthy measure of central tendency

Positively skewed distribution

• The mean is greater in value than the median





Negatively skewed distribution

The mean is less than the median





Symmetrical distribution

The mean and median are equal




Income distribution, U.S. adult population, 2016



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

Level of measurement

Relationship between level of measurement and measures of central tendency

Measure	Level of measurement			
of central tendency	Nominal	Ordinal	Interval-ratio	
Mode	YES	Yes	Yes	
Median	No	YES	Yes	
Mean	No	Yes (?)	YES	

- YES: most appropriate measure for each level
- Yes: measure is also permitted
- Yes (?): mean is often used with ordinal-level variables, but this practice violates level-ofmeasurement guidelines
- No: cannot be computed for that level

Summary to choose measure

Use the mode when:	1. The variable is measured at the nominal level.
	You want a quick and easy measure for ordinal- and interval-ratio-level variables.
	3. You want to report the most common score.
Use the median when:	1. The variable is measured at the ordinal level.
	2. An interval-ratio variable is badly skewed.
	You want to report the central score. The median always lies at the exact center of the distribution.
Use the mean when:	 The variable is measured at the interval-ratio level (except when the variable is badly skewed).
	2. You want to report the typical score. The mean is the statistics that exactly balances all of the scores.
	3. You anticipate additional statistical analysis.





Measures of dispersion

- Explain the purpose of measures of dispersion
- Compute and interpret these measures
 - Range (*R*), interquartile range (*Q* or *IQR*)
 - Standard deviation (s), variance (s^2)
- Select an appropriate measure of dispersion and correctly calculate and interpret the statistic
- Describe and explain the mathematical characteristics of the standard deviation
- Analyze a boxplot



Concept of dispersion

- Dispersion refers to the variety, diversity, or amount of variation among scores
- The greater the dispersion of a variable, the greater the range of scores and the greater the differences between scores
- Examples
 - Typically, a large city will have more diversity than a small town
 - Some states (California, New York) are more racially diverse than others (Maine, Iowa)



Ambulance assistance

- Examples below have similar means
 - 7.4 minutes for service A and 7.6 minutes for service B
- Service A is more consistent in its response
 - Less dispersion than service B



Range (R)

- Range indicates the distance between the highest and lowest scores in a distribution
- Range (*R*) = Highest Score Lowest Score
- Quick and easy indication of variability
- Can be used with ordinal-level or interval-ratiolevel variables
- Why can't the range be used with variables measured at the nominal level?
 - For these variables, use frequency distributions to analyze dispersion



Limitations of range

• Range is based on only two scores

It is distorted by atypically high or low scores
 Influenced by outliers

 No information about variation between high and low scores



Interquartile range (Q or IQR)

- A type of range measure
 - Considers only the middle 50% of the cases in a distribution
- Avoids some of the problems of the range by focusing on just the middle 50% of scores

- Avoids the influence of outliers



Limitation of interquartile range

The interquartile range is based on only two scores

It fails to yield any information from all of the other scores

– Based only on Q_1 and Q_3



Birth rates for 40 nations, 2012

(number of births per 1000 population)

Rank	Nation	Birth rate	Rank	Nation	Birth rate
40 (highest)	Niger	46	20	Libya	23
39	Uganda	45	19	India	22
38	Malawi	43	18	Venezuela	21
37	Angola	42	17	Mexico	20
36	Mozambique	42	16	Colombia	19
35	Tanzania	41	15	Kuwait	18
34	Nigeria	40	14	Vietnam	17
33	Guinea	39	13	Ireland	16
32	Senegal	38	12	Chile	15
31	Тодо	36	11	Australia	14
30	Kenya	35	10	United States	13
29	Ethiopia	34	9	United Kingdom	13
28	Rwanda	33	8	Russia	13
27	Ghana	32	7	France	13
26	Guatemala	29	6	China	12
25	Pakistan	28	5	Canada	11
24	Haiti	27	4	Spain	10
26	Cambodia	26	3	Japan	9
22	Egypt	25	2	Italy	9
21	Syria	24	1 (lowest)	Germany	8

Examples of R and IQR

- Range = Highest score Lowest score = 46 8 = 38
- Interquartile range (IQR)
 - Locate Q_3 (75th percentile) and Q_1 (25th percentile)
 - Q_3 : 0.75 x 40 = 30th case
 - Kenya is the 30th case with a birth rate of 35
 - $Q_1: 0.25 \times 40 = 10$ th case
 - United States is the 10th case with a birth rate of 13
 - Difference of these values is interquartile range
 - *IQR* = *Q*3 *Q*1 = 35 13 = 22



Standard deviation

- The most important and widely used measure of dispersion
 - It should be used with interval-ratio-level variables, but is often used with ordinal-level variables
- Good measure of dispersion
 - Uses all scores in the distribution
 - Describes the average or typical deviation of the scores
 - Increases in value as the distribution of scores becomes more diverse



Interpreting standard deviation

• It is an index of variability that increases in value as the distribution becomes more variable

• It allows us to compare distributions

It can be interpreted in terms of normal deviation
 We will discuss on Chapter 5



Formulas

- Standard deviation and variance are based on the distance between each score and the mean
- Formula for variance

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{N}$$

Formula for standard deviation

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{N}}$$



Step-by-step calculation of s

- Subtract mean from each score: $(X_i \overline{X})$
- Square the deviations: $(X_i \overline{X})^2$
- Sum the squared deviations: $\sum (X_i \overline{X})^2$
- Divide the sum of squared deviations by *N*: $\frac{\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{N}$
- Square root brings value back to original unit:

$$\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{N}$$



ns	Age (X _i)	$X_i - \overline{X}$	$(X_i - \overline{X})^2$	
npi	18	18 – 19 = –1	$(-1)^2 = 1$	
car	19	19 – 19 = 0	$(0)^2 = 0$	
a	20	20 – 19 = 1	$(1)^2 = 1$	This residential
enti	18	18 – 19 = –1	$(-1)^2 = 1$	
ide	20	20 – 19 = 1	$(1)^2 = 1$	campus is less
Res	$\sum_{i} (X_i) = 95$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 4$	diverse with
	<i>X</i> = 95/5 = 19		$s = \sqrt{4/5} = 0.89$	respect to age
	$\Delta q_0(X_i)$	$X_{\ell} = \overline{X}$	$(\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{X}})^2$	Tespect to age
<i>(</i>)				(s=0.9)
sn	20	20 - 23 = -3	$(-3)^2 = 9$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
du	22	22 – 23 = –1	$(-1)^2 = 1$	than this urban
cal	18	18 – 23 = –5	(5) ² = 25	compus (s=12)
n	25	25 – 23 = 2	$(2)^2 = 4$	campus (3-4.2).
rbâ	30	30 – 23 = 7	$(7)^2 = 49$	
D	$\sum_{\overline{X}} (X_i) = 115$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 88$	
	<i>X</i> = 115/5 = 23		$s = \sqrt{88/5} = 4.20$	

S	State	Homicide rate	Deviation	Deviation squared
tate	Connecticut	3.6	0.88	0.77
l st	Massachusetts	3.2	0.48	0.23
anc	Rhode Island	2.8	0.08	0.01
lgi	Vermont	2.2	-0.52	0.27
Ш	Maine	1.8	-0.92	0.85
New		$\sum(X_i) = 13.6$ $\overline{X} = 2.72$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$	$\sum_{i} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 2.13$ s = $\sqrt{2.13/5} = 0.66$

	State	Homicide rate	Deviation	Deviation squared
es	Arizona	6.4	2.02	4.08
tat	Nevada	5.9	1.52	2.31
n S	California	4.9	0.52	0.27
ter	Oregon	2.4	-1.98	3.92
/est	Washington	2.3	-2.08	4.33
3		$\sum(X_i) = 21.9$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X}) = 0$	$\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 14.91$
		\overline{X} = 4.38		$s = \sqrt{14.91/5} = 1.73$

Reporting several variables

- Measures of central tendency (e.g., mean) and dispersion (e.g., standard deviation)
 - Valuable descriptive statistics
 - Basis for many analytical techniques
 - Most often presented in summary tables

Variable	Mean	Standard deviation	Number of cases
Age	33.2	1.3	1,078
Number of children	2.3	0.7	1,078
Years married	7.8	1.5	1,052
Income (in dollars)	55,786	1,500	987
Source: Healey 2015, p.110,			

Characteristics of the sample



Parental engagement

- Means and standard deviations for number of days per week each parent engaged with child
 - How does maternal engagement compare to paternal engagement?
 - How does married engagement compare to cohabiting engagement?
 - How does engagement change over time?

	Mate	laternal engagement Paternal engageme				nent		
Marital status	1 yea	r old 3 years old		1 year old		3 years old		
	\overline{X}	S	\overline{X}	S	\overline{X}	S	\overline{X}	S
Married	5.30	1.40	4.95	1.33	4.64	1.75	4.01	1.43
Cohabiting	5.23	1.36	4.86	1.38	4.67	1.58	4.04	1.53

Source: Healey 2015, p.110.

Income: Central tendency

Median

- Increases in income of the average American household
- Mean
 - Increases in average income for all American households

- Mean household income

80,000 -70,000 60,000 Household income 50,000 40,000 30,000 20,000 10,000 0 2007 2011 1975 1983 1987 199 1995 1999 2003 1967 1971 1979

Median household income

Median and mean household incomes, United States, 1967–2011

Income: Dispersion increased

- The increase was not shared equally
 - Low-income households: no growth
 - High-income households: robust increases





Source: Healey 2015, p.109.

Boxplots

- Boxplot is also known as "box and whiskers plot"
 - It provides a way to visualize and analyze dispersion
 - Useful when comparing distributions
 - It uses median, range, interquartile range, outliers
 - Easier to read all this information than in tables





Income by sex, 2016

Statistics for individual income	Male	Female
Lowest score	363.00	363.00
Q1	15,427.50	9,982.50
Median	32,670.00	19,965.00
Q3	49,005.00	32,670.00
Highest score	189,211.46	189,211.46
IQR	33,577.50	22,687.50
Mean	41,282.78	28,109.34
Standard deviation	41,295.31	30,201.87

Commands in Stata

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(sex) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr mean sd)

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Income by age group, 2016

Statistics for individual income	18–24	25–44	45–64	65–89
Lowest score	363.00	363.00	363.00	363.00
Q1	3,267.00	13,612.50	15,427.50	8,167.50
Median	8,167.50	23,595.00	32,670.00	19,965.00
Q3	15,427.50	39,930.00	49,005.00	39,930.00
Highest score	72,600.00	189,211.46	189,211.46	189,211.46
IQR	12,160.50	26,317.50	33,577.50	31,762.50
Mean	11,214.16	32,863.93	42,552.21	30,848.29
Standard deviation	11,787.32	33,269.47	41,486.09	33,303.36

Commands in Stata

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(agegr1) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr mean sd)

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegr1) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Income by race/ethnicity, 2016

Statistics for individual income	Non-Hispanic white	Non-Hispanic black	Hispanic	Other
Lowest score	363.00	363.00	363.00	363.00
Q1	13,612.50	8,167.50	8,167.50	8,167.50
Median	27,225.00	19,965.00	17,242.50	27,225.00
Q3	49,005.00	32,670.00	32,670.00	72,600.00
Highest score	189,211.46	101,640.00	189,211.46	189,211.46
IQR	35,392.50	24,502.50	24,502.50	64,432.50
Mean	38,845.62	23,243.04	23,128.92	50,156.35
Standard deviation	39,157.17	19,671.53	21,406.31	59,219.90

Commands in Stata

tabstat conrinc [aweight=wtssall], by(raceeth) stat(min p25 p50 p75 max iqr mean sd)

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Income by sex and age group, 2016

200000

Individual income in constant dollars 50,000 100000 150000

0

18-24



Command in Stata

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(agegr1) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Command in Stata

25-44

45-64

male

graph box conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegr1) over(sex) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

65-89

18-24

25-44

female

45-64



65-89

Income by sex and race/ethnicity, 2016





Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) over(sex) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Income by age group and race/ethnicity, 2016





Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(agegr1) over(raceeth) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)

Command in Stata

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(raceeth) over(agegr1) ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Income by sex, age group, and race/ethnicity, 2016



graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall], over(sex) over(agegr1) over(raceeth)
ytitle(Individual income in constant dollars)



Source: 2016 General Social Survey.

Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

 Respondents' income in constant dollars sum conrinc [aweight=wtssall], d

respondent income in constant dollars

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	363	363		
5%	1452	363		
10%	3993	363	Obs	1,632
25%	11797.5	363	Sum of Wgt.	1,695.2263
50%	23595		Mean	34649.3
		Largest	Std. Dev.	36722.06
75%	39930	189211.5		
90%	72600	189211.5	Variance	1.35e+09
95%	101640	189211.5	Skewness	2.538394
99%	189211.5	189211.5	Kurtosis	10.63267

Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

Respondents' income in constant dollars
 codebook conrinc

conrinc

type: label:	numeric (double) LABW, but 26 nonmissing	values are n	ot labeled
range: unique values: unique mv codes:	[363,189211.46] 26 1	units: missing .: missing .*:	.01 0/2,867 1,235/2,867
examples:	17242.5 39930 .i IAP .i IAP		



respondent income in constant dollars

Edited table

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of respondents' incomein constant dollars, U.S. adult population, 2016

Income
34,649.30
363.00
11,797.50
23,595.00
39,930.00
189,211.50
188,848.50
28,132.50
36,722.06
1,632
1,235

Source: 2016 General Social Survey.



Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

• Respondents' income in constant dollars

hist conrinc, percent normal



Example: 2016 GSS in Stata

 Generate box plot for respondents' income in constant dollars

graph hbox conrinc [aweight=wtssall],
ytitle(Respondents' income in constant dollars)


Edited figure

Figure 1. Distribution of respondents' income in constant dollars, U.S. adult population, 2016





eneral Social Survey.

Summary

- Measures of dispersions are higher for more diverse groups
 - Larger samples and populations
- Measures of dispersions decrease, as diversity or variety decreases
 - Smaller samples and more homogeneous groups
- The lowest possible value for range and standard deviation is zero
 - In this case, there is no dispersion



