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## Labor force

- Introduction
- Measuring the labor force
- Substantive uses of labor force measures
- Theoretical considerations
- Additional analytic approaches
- Research directions


## Introduction

- The labor force refers to all members of the population above a minimum age
- They are working or looking for work
- Also known as economically active population
- Labor force is a measure of labor supply
- People who produce goods and services whose value is counted in the Gross National Product


## Importance of labor force

- Labor force is related to production and consumption
- Size, composition and changes of labor force are of significant interest to
- Demographers
- Sociologists
- Economists
- Policy makers


## Measuring the labor force

- Important measure in demography and economics to analyze labor force is the dependency ratio
- Numerator: people below age 15 plus people 65+
- Denominator: people aged 15-64
- Multiplied by 100
- Interpretation: number of dependents per 100 workers
- Youth dependency ratio: only <15 in numerator
- Old-age dependency ratio: only 65+ in numerator


## Assumptions

- Assumptions of demographic ratio interpretation
- All adults in working ages (15-64) are at work
- No one younger than 15 nor older than 65 is working


## Dependency ratio can increase

- Increase in number of dependents per worker
- Rise in fertility
- More people retiring earlier
- More people living longer after retiring


## Dependency ratio can decrease

- Larger denominator
- Influx of working-age migrants
- Smaller numerator
- Decline in fertility
- High mortality affecting more children or the elderly


## Business cycle

- Understanding the business cycle in modern economies is important for policymakers
- Estimate number and characteristics of unemployed persons in working ages
- Examine racial differences in unemployment over the business cycle
- For this purpose, we would need to measure specific subgroups in the denominator, such as
- By unemployment status
- By race/ethnicity


## Administrative records

- Administrative records are insufficient for measuring unemployment
- Records of unemployment compensation program undercount unemployed workers
- Those who have exhausted their benefits or who never qualified for benefits
- New entrants looking for their first jobs are ineligible for compensation
- Some firms and industries are not covered by the program
- Adults who attend school, are ill, retire early... are not counted as employed or unemployed


## Measuring unemployment

- The labor force concept was developed to measure unemployment
- During the Great Depression of the 1930s, U.S. demographers and statisticians began a series of studies attempting to quantify unemployment
- Objective and replicable method was developed
- Questions identify if adults over the minimum working age (16 in the US, 15 in others) were employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force (NILF)
- Labor force measure has no maximum age


## Questions for unemployment

- Person is employed if "yes" to any of these
- Was individual at work for at least one hour for pay or profit during the week preceding the survey?
- If no, was individual working at least 15 hours unpaid in a familyowned enterprise?
- If no, did individual have a job, but was not at work (e.g., vacation, temporarily ill)?
- Person is unemployed if "yes" to any of these
- Was individual available for work and actively looked for work during the past four weeks?
- Was individual waiting for result of job search?
- Was individual waiting to report to a job within the next month?
- Person is NILF if not classified above
- e.g., retirement, disability, school, home responsibilities


## Unemployment rate

- Employed and unemployed are considered the labor force
- Unemployment rate (multiplied by 100)
- Numerator: unemployed
- Denominator: employed and unemployed
- Interpretation: percentage of labor force that is actively seeking employment


## Labor force participation rate

- Numerator: employed and unemployed
- Denominator: working age population
- Multiplied by 100
- It can be estimated by
- Sex
- Age groups
- Race/ethnicity
- Educational attainment
- Geographic areas: urban/rural, states, counties, cities


## Employment-population ratio

- Numerator: employed
- Denominator: working age population
- Multiplied by 100
- Employment-population ratio and unemployment rate can rise at the same time
- It is possible to have more employed and unemployed people when the labor force grows


## US unemployment rates' trends

- In the United States, male unemployment rates are higher than women's rates
- African-American unemployment are twice as high as those for whites
- Hispanic unemployment rates are intermediate between African-American and white unemployment rates


## Limitations of LF measures

- Unemployment rate
- It omits discouraged workers who are not seeking work because they believe that no work is available
- Employment definition
- Too generous: includes those who worked as little as one hour of paid employment
- Too restrictive: omits work of volunteers, homemakers, caregivers
- Labor force participation rates
- Inmates of institutions and military are excluded even if they receive wage
- Young people have lower rates due to full-time school attendance and childbearing
- Employment-population ratio
- In an aging population with declining fertility, this ratio rises as an effect of changing age structure


## Substantive uses of labor force measures

- Labor force and level of economic development
- Macro-level studies
- Micro-level studies


## Labor force and level of economic development

- Comparing labor force participation rates across countries is affected by demographic differences
- Age structure
- Social structures
- Presence of social security system


## LF rates and development

- Labor force participation rate for a demographic group or for an industry is a proxy for more economic development
- Services that were performed at home enter the market economy
- Recent increases in female labor force participation
- Women in the labor force may intensify occupational segregation
- More generous provision of disability payments and retirement income
- Assumption that economic development leads to separation of workplace from home is now questioned


## Macro-level studies

- Macro-level analysis of labor force
- Size, composition, changes
- Size of labor force relative to entire population
- Census and survey data for a state or nation
- Examples
- Demographic dividend refers to the potential for economic growth from the relative increase of workers in the labor force due to declining fertility
- Ecological studies may employ local labor force participation rate or unemployment rate as independent variables


## Micro-level studies

- Micro-level studies use data for individuals
- Typically from surveys or census microdata samples
- E.g., Current Population Survey
- Measure association of a person's labor force status with other characteristics, such as sex, age, race/ethnicity, level of education, language, place of birth, marital status, fertility, migration history
- These studies are used to understand differences of labor force behavior among demographic groups


## Theoretical considerations

- Demographic transition theory and economic development theory
- Human capital theory
- Labor market discrimination
- Fertility and women's labor force participation


## Demography and economics

- Demographic transition theory is assumed to be more or less linear
- Start from a stable population with high fertility and high mortality
- Followed by decline in mortality
- Later followed by a decline in fertility
- Complete in a stable population with low birth and death rates
- How fast this happens and under what circumstances is a function of many factors, such as economic development


## Human capital theory

- Human capital theory can be used to understand why some workers are more likely to be employed, have higher income, have better jobs
- Same variables used to predict income may be used to predict labor force participation
- Personal characteristics and endowments
- Education, training, migration...
- Concept of social capital
- Effect of social networks (e.g., families, churches, classmates) in helping to match workers with jobs


## Labor market discrimination

- Theories of labor market discrimination examine racial, ethnic, and gender differences in labor force participation rates, occupational attainment, or earnings
- Human capital is insufficient to explain differences
- Divide labor supply (workers) by more/less preferred workers (race, sex...)
- Statistical discrimination
- Institutional equity and affirmative action policies seek to overcome statistical discrimination
- It is rare to examine discrimination directly, so we can infer it when differences persist even with several controls


## Fertility and women's labor force

- Why some women enter the labor force?
- Structural characteristics of the economy help to shape the general demand for women's labor
- Women's family responsibilities are intervening variables
- Variations by generation, ethnicity, immigrant status, religion
- Child care availability
- Possibility of husbands/wives to work different shifts
- Reverse (mutual) causality between fertility and female labor force participation


## Additional analytic approaches

- Tables of economically active life
- Generate multiple increment-decrement tables to model not only effect of mortality, but also the effect of entries into and exits from the labor force
- Underemployment
- Refining the employment rate
- Longitudinal measures
- Informalization and its effects on labor force indicators


## Research directions

- Age structure and retirement
- Youth labor force attachment
- The future of work


## Demographic changes and labor outcomes

- Within the labor force (15-64 years of age)
- Population is getting older and better educated in Mexico and Brazil with regional variation
- Age and education increase earnings
- Are there other effects of changing age and educational compositions on male earnings?
- Larger proportion of older and more educated males - Generates competition in the labor market - Negative associations with earnings of competing workers


## Previous studies

- Human capital
- Schooling and work experience improve earnings (Mincer 1974)
- Those least likely to attend college benefit most from it (Brand, Xie 2010)
- Baby boom cohort
- Cohort sizes depress earnings, effects increase with education (Bloom et al. 1987; Easterlin 1978; Freeman 1979; Welch 1979)
- Effects do not diminish with age and persist after retirement (Berger 1985; Sapozknikov, Triest 2007)
- More effects of cohort size
- U.S.: improves wages, employment, labor force participation (Autor et al. 1998; Katz, Autor 1999; Katz, Murphy 1992; Shimer 2001)
- OECD: depresses youth employment (Korenman, Neumark 2000)
- Europe: depresses employment and earnings (Biagi, Lucifora 2008; Brunello 2010; Skans 2005)
- Stronger for those with secondary education (Moffat, Roth 2016)


## Main contribution

- Few studies addressed how demographic and educational compositions affect earnings in developing countries
- China: significant cohort-size effects for rural, least educated, and males (Fan et al. 2015)
- Brazil: increasing concentration of educated workers (Queiroz, Golgher 2008), but less is known about effects on earnings
- Mexico and Brazil, compared to developed countries
- Worse income inequality
- Faster changes in age composition
- Lower educational attainment
- More regional variation


## Mexico \& Brazil

- Fertility decline is contributing to changes in age composition (CONAPO 2004, 2014; IBGE 2012)

| Total Fertility Rate | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | 6.8 | 2.3 |
| Brazil | 5.8 | 1.9 |

- Educational expansion began late and has a long way to gO (Barro, Lee 2001; Marcílio 2001, 2005; Rios-Neto, Guimarães 2010; Lustig et al. 2013)
- Improvement in educational attainment coincides with decline in family size and school-age children (Lam, Marteleto 2005, 2008)


## Male age composition Mexico, 1960-2010



## Male age composition Brazil, 1970-2010



## Male education composition Mexico, 1960-2010



## Male education composition Brazil, 1970-2010



## Micro-data

|  | Mexico | Brazil |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | 1990, 2000, 2010 | 1970, 1980, 1991, 2000, 2010 |  |
| Minimum <br> comparable <br> areas | 2,456 municipalities <br> (consistent boundaries <br> only for last three censuses) | 502 micro-regions |  |
| Earnings | All occupations | Main occupation |  |
| Age | Youths (15-24) <br> Young adults (25-34) <br> Older adults (50-64) |  |  |
| Education | Less than primary completed <br> Primary completed <br> Secondary completed <br> University completed |  |  |
| Age- <br> education | 16 age-education groups |  |  |

## Aggregate-level data

- Data is aggregated by year, area, and age-education groups
- Mexico: 3 years * 2,456 municipalities * 16 age-education groups
- Brazil: 5 years * 502 micro-regions * 16 age-education groups
- Cells with less than 25 people receiving income were excluded
- Mexico: 82,604 observations remained
- Brazil: 32,201 observations remained
- Only male population
- Labor force participation is not driven by level of earnings, fertility decline, and changes in educational attainment


## Det? setun

| Year | Area | Ageeducation group G11-G44 | Log of mean earnings $\log \left(Y_{\mathrm{git}}\right)$ | Distr. of male pop. P11-P44 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14 | ... | P44 | Num. of obs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 110006 | 15-24 <br>  <br> < primary | 5.80 | 0.221 | 0.221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 | 2,016 |
| 1970 | 110006 | 15-24 years \& primary | 6.02 | 0.102 | 0 | 0.102 | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 | 927 |
| 1970 | 110006 | 15-24 <br> years \& secondary | 6.57 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0.007 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 62 |
| 1970 | 110006 | 15-24 years \& university | 7.58 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | 11 |
| ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | . | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| 1970 | 110006 | 50-64 years \& university | 7.91 | 0.002 | 0 | 0 | $0$ | ... | ... | $0.002$ | 15 |
| ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... |  | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |

## Fixed effects models

|  | Baseline <br> model | Composition <br> model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent variable |  |  |
| Logarithm of the <br> mean real monthly earnings <br> by age-education group, <br> area, and time | $\log \left(Y_{g i t}\right)$ | $\log \left(Y_{g i t}\right)$ |
| Independent variables | $\left(G_{11}-G_{44}\right) * \theta_{t}$ | $\left(G_{11}-G_{44}\right){ }^{*} \theta_{t}$ |
| 16 age-education indicators <br> $*$ <br> time | $\alpha_{i t}$ | $\left(P_{11}-P_{44}\right){ }^{*} \theta_{t}$ |
| Distribution of male <br> population into 16 age- <br> education groups * time | $\alpha_{i t}$ |  |
| Area-time <br> fixed effects |  |  |

Effects of age-education indicators $\left(\mathrm{G}_{11}-\mathrm{G}_{44}\right)$ Baseline model, Mexico, 2010


## Effects of age-education indicators $\left(\mathrm{G}_{11}-\mathrm{G}_{44}\right)$ Baseline model, Brazil, 2010



## Effects of group proportions $\left(\mathrm{P}_{21}-\mathrm{P}_{24}\right)$ on earnings, Mexico, 1990-2010

## 25-34 years



## Effects of group proportions $\left(\mathrm{P}_{31}-\mathrm{P}_{44}\right)$ on earnings, Mexico, 1990-2010

 35-49 years

## Effects of group proportions $\left(\mathrm{P}_{21}-\mathrm{P}_{24}\right)$ on earnings, Brazil, 1970-2010

## 25-34 years



## Effects of group proportions $\left(\mathrm{P}_{31}-\mathrm{P}_{34}\right)$ on earnings, Brazil, 1970-2010 35-49 years



## Final considerations

- In line with previous studies
- Larger cohort-education size generally depresses earnings
- Mexico: slower changes in age-education composition might be a reason for smaller effects
- Men with low education
- Decreasing over time, but their earnings are not increasing
- Secondary-school groups
- Already have lower earnings than university graduates
- Moreover, these groups are increasing over time and experiencing negative correlations with earnings
- Time
- Correlations are becoming less negative over the years
- Still strong for secondary-school groups in Brazil, 2010


## Implications

- Reduction in income inequality
- More better-educated men
- Negative associations with earnings
- This reduced differentials in relation to lower-educated men

Fewer younger men

- Smaller negative associations with earnings
- This prevented greater disparities in relation to older men


## National Transfer Accounts

- The goal of the National Transfer Accounts (NTA) project is to improve understanding of how population growth and changing population age structure influence
- Economic growth
- Gender and generational equity
- Public finances
- Other important features of the macro-economy


## NTA in several countries

- Research teams in more than 60 countries are constructing accounts that measure how people at each age
- Produce
- Consume
- Share resources
- Save for the future
- These accounts are designed to complement the UN System of National Accounts, population data, and other important economic and demographic indicators

Source: http://www.ntaccounts.org.

## Motivation and goals

- Some topics that can be learned from NTA...
- What is the nature of the generational economy in different countries, regions, times, etc.?
- Are our support systems sustainable?
- Does the generational economy impact economic growth?
- How is the generational economy changing?


## What is an age profile in NTA?

- A schedule of age-specific average flow amounts
- Based on flow measure or proxy indicator from
- A household survey
- A government report
- Other NTA age profiles
- NTA assumptions
- Smoothed over age US 2009

- Adjusted up or down so that aggregate flow matches an aggregate estimate from national accounts

Source: Donehower 2015.

## Economic lifecycle

—Labor income - Consumption


Fig. 24.1 Per capita consumption and labor income by age, high-income countries, circa 2006. Per capita consumption and labor income are expressed relative to the
average of per capita labor income for persons 30-49. (Source: Mason et al. 2017; updated estimates provided at www.ntaccounts.org)

## Type of work and time

- Use information to classify type of work
- Labor market vs. household
- Paid work vs. unpaid work
- Identify productive activities in a time use survey
- Estimate age profile of unpaid household production in time units
- Impute consumption and transfers to individuals in household and community


## Gender in the total economy Mexico, 2005



## Gender in the labor market

 Mexico, 2005

## Gender in the household Mexico, 2005



## Time of each type of work

Females, Mexico 2002


Females, US 2009


Males, Mexico 2002


Males, US 2009


## NTA reallocations

- The National Transfer Flow Account is estimated with a set of reallocations
- Public transfers
- Asset-based reallocations
- Private transfers


## Public transfers

- For NTA, public transfers refer to all transactions with the government
- "Public transfers" may be a misleading title, since it is sometimes used to refer only to public education, public health, Social Security...
- NTA refer to all transactions with the government
- "Government transactions" is a better description
- Public sector transactions for households in NTA mirror those of the government sector
- Inflows into the household sector from the government are outflows from the government to the households sector


## Asset-based reallocations

- Asset-based reallocations are the composite of two flows
- Asset income
- Savings
- In NTA, two kinds of asset income are distinguished
- Capital income
- Return to capital held by corporations and households
- Property income

Source: http://www.ntaccounts.org.

## Private transfers

- Transfer of current income
- Across households
- From households to non-profit institutions
- Within households
- They are estimated after the researcher has estimated the economic lifecycle, public transfers, and public asset-based reallocations
- Capital transfers such as bequests, dowry, and similar large transfers are not current transfers and are not included in the Flow Account


## NTA reallocations by age United States, 2011



Source: Donehower 2015.

## Change over time, US total consumption





- In units of average labor income, ages 30-49

Source: Donehower 2015.

# NTA reallocations by countries 

- Public transfers

Private transfers

- Asset-based reallocations


Fig. 24.2 Age-reallocations (public transfers, private transfers, and asset-based reallocations) as a proportion of the gap between consumption and labor income,

65 and older, selected countries. (Source: Mason and Lee (2017); also see www.ntaccounts.org)

## NTA and public policies

- The NTA project is shedding light on many areas of importance to policymakers
- Public policy on pensions, health care, education, and reproductive health
- Social institutions, such as the extended family
- The full economic contribution of women
- Social, political, and economic implications of population aging


## Demographic dividends

- First demographic dividend
- Age structures favorable to production
- More working-age population relative to children and elderly
- Second demographic dividend
- Age structures favorable to capital
- Fertility decline associated with greater human capital investment per child
- Older populations concentrated in ages with more assets which can be invested to increase the capital to labor ratio


## Dependency ratios, Brazil 1950-2050



Source: United Nations - http://esa.un.org/unpp (medium variant).

## Rate of growth of support ratio <br> Low income <br> Lower-middle income <br> Upper-middle income <br> High income



Fig. 24.3 Rate of growth of the support ratio, 1950-2060, four income groups as of 2016 (high, upper middle, lower middle, and low). (Source: Mason et al. (2017); www.ntaccounts.org (indicators) accessed 12/19/2017)

## Research example

- Ronald Lee
- "Intergenerational Transfers and the Economic Life Cycle: A Cross- cultural Perspective"


## Lee: Intergenerational transfers



Fig. 24.4 Summary of inter-age reallocations in various contexts

## Lee: Intergenerational transfers

Fig. 24.5 Familial transfers in U.S. (a) Interhousehold (b) Within household transfers (per child)

B. Within household transfers (per child)


## Demography of inequality

- Global inequality: Rising or falling?
- Inequality and poverty in the United States
- Inequality across age groups
- Racial and ethnic gaps
- Education and gender gaps
- Regional patterns of poverty and inequality
- Public policy efforts to reduce inequality


## Global inequality: Rising or falling?

- Differences in inequality across countries

Table 11.1 Countries with the highest and lowest levels of inequality, ranked by the Gini index, 2007-2016

| Highest inequality | Lowest inequality |
| :--- | :--- |
| South Africa, 63.2 | Slovenia, 25.0 |
| Namibia, 61.0 | Ukraine, 25.2 |
| Botswana, 60.5 | Czech Republic, 26.3 |
| Zambia, 56.4 | Norway, 26.3 |
| Central African Rep., 56.2 | Slovak Republic, 26.5 |
| Lesotho, 54.2 | Sweden, 26.9 |
| Colombia, 54.2 | Denmark, 27.2 |
| Honduras, 54.1 | Finland, 27.5 |
| Brazil, 53.1 | Belarus, 27.5 |
| Panama, 51.8 | Algeria, 27.6 |
|  | Iceland, 27.6 |

Note: Rankings reflect the average reported Gini index for countries with data available 2007-2016 Source: World Bank, DataBank (2017)

Household Income Inequality and Poverty in the United States, 1967-2016


Fig. 11.1 Levels of inequality have increased while poverty rates have fluctuated in the United States. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey)

## Inequality across age groups

Poverty Rates by Age Group, 1966-2016


Fig. 11.2 There is a persistent poverty gap between children and older Americans. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey)

## Racial and ethnic gaps

## Poverty Rates by Race/Ethnicity,* 1987-2016



Fig. 11.3 There is a persistent racial/ethnic gap in U.S. poverty rates. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey. Starting in 2000 racial categories include those who selected only one race. *Note: Data
for American Indians are not shown because of sampling error. The poverty rate for American Indians/Alaska Natives was estimated to be $25 \%$ in 2016)

## Racial and ethnic gaps

## Median Net Worth by Race/Ethnicity, 2013



Fig. 11.4 Median net worth varies widely across racial/ethnic groups in the United States. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 2014 Panel, Wave 1)

## Education and gender gaps

College/High School Gap in Median Income, Adults Ages 25


Fig. 11.5 The economic gap between those with and without college degrees has increased in the United States. (Note: College completion data through 1990 are based on
four + years of college: data for 1991-2016 are based on bachelor's degree or more. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey)

## Regional patterns of poverty and inequality



Fig. 11.6 Poverty and inequality have increased in many U.S. counties, especially in the South. (Source: PRB analysis of data from the U.S. Census Bureau)

## Regional patterns of poverty and inequality

Table 11.2 The intersection of poverty and inequality in U.S. counties, 2010-2014

|  | Low-poverty | High-poverty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-inequality | 891 counties $(28 \%)$ | 379 counties $(12 \%)$ |
| High-inequality | 571 counties (18\%) | 1201 counties (41\%) |

Source: Analysis by the authors of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey

# Public policy efforts to reduce inequality 

- Policy initiatives to address inequality
- Equalizing income
- Taxes and transfers
- Equality of access and opportunity
- Education
- Employment and wages


## Inequality and mobility

- Is there an association between income inequality and intergenerational mobility?
- Income inequality: rising since the 1980s
- Driven mostly by increased wages for highly educated workers and top earners
- Intergenerational mobility
- Degree to which conditions at birth and childhood determine situation later in life (Roemer et al. 2003)
- Indicates whether there is less mobility for children of low-income parents


## Great Gatsby curve

- Cross-country correlation between intergenerational mobility and income inequality (Corak 2013, Corak et al. 2014, Krueger 2012, OECD 2011, 2015)
- Measuring intergenerational mobility
- Refers to how much income of children (when adults) is determined by income of parents
- Intergenerational income elasticity (IGE)
- Estimated from regression of child income to parental income (in logs)


## Great Gatsby curve: IGE \& Gini



Correlation=0.666 ( $p=0.000 ; p=0.001$ when clustering standard errors by study)

## Great Gatsby curve: IGE \& Top 1\%



Correlation=0.514 ( $p=0.000 ; p=0.006$ when clustering standard errors by study)

## Further questions

- Do different measures of income inequality yield different results?
- Gini coefficient
- Top 1\% income share
- Does the methodology used in estimating IGE influence these associations?
- Does within country (across time) changes in inequality also relate to changes in IGE?
- This can be seen as a panel data version of the Great Gatsby curve (Chetty et al. 2014a, 2014b)


## Great Gatsby curve across time



Source: Chetty et al. 2014b.

## Meta-analysis

- IGE is derived from research studies
- No official and comparable statistics
- This approach allows us to control for differences in methodology and context
- Causality is hard to establish
- Indicators are results of complex social and economic outcomes
- We analyze correlations across countries and time, as well as within countries


## Data for OLS models

- Dependent variable: intergenerational mobility (IGE)
- Studies about Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom, United States
- Independent variable: income inequality
- Gini coefficient (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development)
- Top 1\% income share (World Top Income Database)
- Control variables
- Children's earnings: male, female, both
- Parents' earnings: father, mother, both
- Number of years of parental earnings
- Age of children and parents
- Type of children's earnings: individual, family
- Country and paper fixed effects


## IGE \& Gini coefficient

| Variables | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gini coefficient | $1.434^{* * *}$ | $1.682^{* * *}$ | $1.144^{* *}$ | $1.059^{*}$ | $1.439^{* * *}$ | 0.857 |
|  | $(0.099)$ | $(0.123)$ | $(0.456)$ | $(0.542)$ | $(0.178)$ | $(0.736)$ |
| Children's earnings |  | X | X |  | X |  |
| Parents' earnings |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| \# years of earnings |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Age of children |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Age of parents |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Type of earnings |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Country |  |  | X | X |  | X |
| Paper |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| R$^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## IGE \& Top 1\% income share

| Variables | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Top 1\% income | $0.016^{* * *}$ | $0.017^{* * *}$ | $0.006^{* *}$ | 0.004 | $0.020^{* * *}$ | $0.023^{* * *}$ |
| share | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.006)$ |
| Children's earnings |  | X | X |  | X |  |
| Parents' earnings |  | X | X |  | X |  |
| \# years of earnings |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Age of children |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Age of parents |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Type of earnings |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Country |  |  | X | X |  | X |
| Paper |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.115 | 0.246 | 0.281 | 0.339 | 0.460 | 0.486 |
| Adjusted R ${ }^{2}$ | 0.114 | 0.229 | 0.268 | 0.313 | 0.406 | 0.414 |
| Observations | 554 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 554 |

[^1]
## Standardized coefficients

| Variables | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gini coefficient | $0.614^{* * *}$ | $0.720^{* * *}$ | $0.490^{* *}$ | $0.454^{*}$ | $0.617^{* * *}$ | 0.367 |
| Top 1\% income <br> share | $0.340^{* * *}$ | $0.362^{* * *}$ | $0.129^{* *}$ | 0.082 | $0.428^{* * *}$ | $0.489^{* * *}$ |
| Control variables |  | Methods | Country | Methods <br> Country | Paper | Methods <br> Country <br> Paper |

[^2]
## Final considerations

- Across countries, there is a correlation between income inequality and intergenerational mobility
- Stronger bivariate associations with the Gini coefficient
- Across time and within countries, inequality does not always have significant correlations with mobility
- In models controlled for methods, country, and paper, there is no significant correlation with the Gini coefficient
- Drivers of cross-country variations in income inequality may be different than drivers of within-country variations
- Recent increases in inequality at the top of the distribution (top $1 \%$ income share) might be negatively affecting mobility
- Instead of variations across the income distribution (Gini coefficient)
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[^0]:    *** Significant at $p<0.01$. ** Significant at $p<0.05$. * Significant at $p<0.1$.

[^1]:    *** Significant at $p<0.01$. ** Significant at $p<0.05$. * Significant at $p<0.1$.

[^2]:    *** Significant at $p<0.01$. ** Significant at $p<0.05$. * Significant at $p<0.1$.

